Estate of Ruth T. Reid, Deceased, Walter D. Reid, Independent Executor, Petitioner v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Respondent, 71 T. C. 816 (1979)

An individual adjudicated as legally incompetent cannot exercise retained powers over a trust, thus preventing inclusion of trust assets in their estate for tax purposes.

Summary

In Estate of Reid v. Commissioner, the U. S. Tax Court held that assets in an irrevocable inter vivos trust were not includable in the decedent's estate under section 2036(a)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code. Ruth Reid had established a trust in 1955, retaining the right to appoint a successor trustee. However, after being adjudicated incompetent in 1972 until her death, she could not exercise this power. The court followed the precedent set in Estate of Gilchrist v. Commissioner, ruling that neither Reid nor her guardian could appoint a successor trustee, thus excluding the trust assets from her estate.

Facts

Ruth T. Reid created an irrevocable inter vivos trust in 1955, transferring property to Mercantile National Bank of Dallas as trustee. The trust allowed Reid to appoint a successor trustee if the original trustee resigned. In 1971, Reid suffered a stroke, and in January 1972, she was adjudicated incompetent by a Texas probate court, which appointed Walter D. Reid as guardian of her estate. Reid remained incompetent until her death in November 1972. The Commissioner argued that Reid's retained right to appoint herself as successor trustee should include the trust assets in her estate under section 2036(a)(2).

Procedural History

The Commissioner determined a deficiency in Reid's federal estate tax, asserting that the trust assets should be included in her estate. Reid's estate filed a petition with the U. S. Tax Court to contest the deficiency. The Tax Court heard the case and issued its decision on February 15, 1979, ruling in favor of the estate.

Issue(s)

1. Whether Ruth Reid, having been adjudicated incompetent, possessed at the date of her death a contingent right to designate who would possess or enjoy trust property and income, thereby causing the inclusion of such property and income in her gross estate under section 2036(a)(2), I. R. C. 1954.

Holding

1. No, because under Texas law, Reid's adjudication as incompetent deprived her of the right to appoint a successor trustee, and her guardian could not exercise this

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

right on her behalf.

Court’s Reasoning

The court applied Texas law, following the precedent in Estate of Gilchrist v. Commissioner, which held that an incompetent person cannot exercise retained powers over a trust. The court reasoned that Reid’s adjudication as incompetent removed her ability to appoint a successor trustee. Furthermore, Texas law does not allow a guardian to act in the ward’s stead in appointing a successor trustee. The court rejected the Commissioner’s argument that Reid’s retained power should still be considered because the right to appoint a successor trustee was personal and did not vest in the guardian. The court emphasized that Reid’s legal incompetence was directly relevant to the existence of her retained powers at the time of her death.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that the legal incompetence of a trust settlor can impact estate tax inclusion under section 2036(a)(2). Practitioners should consider the settlor’s legal status when assessing potential tax liabilities. The ruling may influence how trusts are structured to avoid unintended tax consequences upon the settlor’s incompetence. It also underscores the importance of understanding state law regarding the powers of guardians in estate planning. Subsequent cases, such as Williams v. United States and Finley v. United States, have followed this precedent, reinforcing its impact on estate tax planning involving trusts and legal incompetence.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.