Christian Manner International, Inc. v. Commissioner, 71 T. C. 661 (1979)

An organization is not operated exclusively for exempt purposes under IRC Section 501(c)(3) if its primary activity is commercial in nature and benefits private individuals.

Summary

Christian Manner International, Inc. sought tax-exempt status under IRC Section 501(c)(3) but was denied by the IRS due to its primary activity of selling religious books at a profit. The Tax Court upheld the denial, finding that the organization's main activity was commercial and served the private benefit of its founder, rather than advancing religious or educational purposes. The court emphasized that even if the books had some religious or educational content, the profit-driven nature of the sales and the lack of other activities to further exempt purposes disqualified the organization from tax-exempt status.

Facts

Christian Manner International, Inc. was incorporated in Texas with stated purposes of religious, charitable, and educational activities. Its primary activity was publishing and selling books written by its founder, Willie D. Smith, under the pseudonym Elijah Two. The books, including 'The End of Time — The Messiah Comes,' were sold commercially at a profit, with distribution primarily through bookstores and distributors using standard commercial practices. The organization received income from book sales and contributions, with profits used to cover expenses and repay loans to Smith. Despite plans for other ministries and activities, no such activities had been implemented by the time of the court's decision.

Procedural History

Christian Manner International applied for tax-exempt status under IRC Section 501(c)(3) in January 1976. The IRS initially withdrew the application from consideration, but upon resubmission, issued an adverse ruling in January 1977, upheld on appeal in March and April 1977. The organization then sought a declaratory judgment in the U. S. Tax Court, which upheld the IRS's denial of exempt status in its decision on January 29, 1979.

Issue(s)

1. Whether Christian Manner International, Inc. was operated exclusively for religious, charitable, or educational purposes under IRC Section 501(c)(3).

Holding

1. No, because the organization's primary activity was the commercial sale of religious books, which served the private benefit of its founder and did not further

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

exempt purposes.

Court’s Reasoning

The Tax Court applied the ‘operated exclusively’ standard of IRC Section 501(c)(3), which requires that an organization’s activities primarily accomplish exempt purposes. The court found that Christian Manner’s main activity was the commercial sale of books, aimed at generating profit for the organization and its founder, rather than advancing religious or educational goals. The court noted that even if the books had religious or educational content, the commercial nature of the sales and the lack of other activities to further exempt purposes meant the organization did not meet the statutory requirements. The court distinguished this case from others where similar activities were found to be incidental to exempt purposes, emphasizing the commercial and private benefit aspects of Christian Manner’s operations. The court also considered the lack of implementation of other planned activities as evidence that the organization was not operated exclusively for exempt purposes.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that organizations seeking tax-exempt status under IRC Section 501(c)(3) must ensure their primary activities are directly related to exempt purposes and not commercial in nature. It highlights the importance of distinguishing between activities that are incidental to exempt purposes and those that serve private interests. For legal practitioners, this case serves as a reminder to carefully assess the nature of an organization’s activities and their relationship to stated exempt purposes when advising on tax-exempt status. The decision also underscores the need for organizations to implement their planned activities to demonstrate commitment to exempt purposes. Subsequent cases have cited Christian Manner when denying exempt status to organizations with significant commercial activities, emphasizing the need for a clear and direct connection between activities and exempt purposes.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.