***T. H. Jones & Co. v. Commissioner, 72 T. C. 47 (1979)***

A taxpayer may apply a subsequent capital loss carryback to offset a deficiency resulting from the disallowance of an earlier net operating loss carryback, even if the limitations period for the subsequent loss year has expired.

**Summary**

T. H. Jones & Co. faced a tax deficiency due to the disallowance of a net operating loss carryback from 1970 to 1968. The company argued that a capital loss carryback from 1971 should be allowed to offset this deficiency. The Tax Court held that the 1971 capital loss carryback could be applied to the 1968 deficiency, despite the expired limitations period for the 1971 year, as it was part of the statutory machinery for applying losses to the year at issue. This decision allows taxpayers to utilize subsequent loss carrybacks to adjust deficiencies from earlier carrybacks, impacting how tax professionals handle loss carrybacks and deficiency assessments.

**Facts**

T. H. Jones & Co. filed its fiscal year 1968 tax return showing a net capital gain and taxable income. In 1970, the company reported a net operating loss, which it carried back to 1968, resulting in a refund. The IRS later determined that the 1970 loss was a capital loss, not an ordinary loss, and disallowed the carryback, creating a deficiency. The company then sought to apply a 1971 capital loss carryback to offset this deficiency, which the IRS contested due to the expired limitations period for the 1971 year.

**Procedural History**

The IRS assessed a deficiency against T. H. Jones & Co. for the fiscal year 1968 due to the disallowed 1970 net operating loss carryback. The company filed a petition with the Tax Court to challenge the deficiency, arguing for the application of a 1971 capital loss carryback to offset the deficiency. The Tax Court ruled in favor of the company, allowing the 1971 carryback to be applied.

**Issue(s)**

1. Whether a taxpayer can apply a subsequent capital loss carryback to a deficiency resulting from the disallowance of an earlier net operating loss carryback when the limitations period for the subsequent loss year has expired.

**Holding**

1. Yes, because the subsequent capital loss carryback is part of the statutory machinery for applying losses to the year at issue, and it is impractical to require a taxpayer to file for a carryback before it becomes legally applicable.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved. 

## Court’s Reasoning

The Tax Court reasoned that the 1971 capital loss carryback was relevant to the determination of the 1968 tax liability, as it was part of the statutory framework for applying losses to the year in question. The court emphasized that the disallowance of the 1970 net operating loss carryback and the subsequent application of the 1971 capital loss carryback were interrelated. The court also noted that requiring a taxpayer to claim a carryback before it becomes legally applicable would be impractical. The court applied sections 6411, 1212, and 172 of the Internal Revenue Code to support its decision, highlighting that these sections govern the application of loss carrybacks. The court’s decision did not address whether the statute of limitations applied to the taxpayer, as it found the 1971 carryback allowable under the circumstances.

## Practical Implications

This decision impacts how tax practitioners handle loss carrybacks and deficiency assessments. It allows taxpayers to use subsequent loss carrybacks to offset deficiencies from earlier carrybacks, even if the limitations period for the subsequent year has expired. This ruling may encourage taxpayers to explore all available loss carrybacks when facing a deficiency assessment. It also affects IRS practices, as the agency must consider subsequent carrybacks when assessing deficiencies related to disallowed carrybacks. The decision has been cited in subsequent cases involving the application of loss carrybacks, reinforcing the principle that the statutory machinery for loss carrybacks should be considered holistically when determining tax liabilities.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved. 