Estate of Robert B. Margrave, Deceased, The United States National Bank, Executor and Trustee of The Robert B. Margrave Revocable Trust, Petitioner v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Respondent, 71 T. C. 13 (1978)

Life insurance proceeds payable to a revocable trust are not included in the gross estate if the decedent lacked incidents of ownership and the power to appoint the proceeds.

Summary

Robert Margrave's wife owned a life insurance policy on his life, with the proceeds designated to a revocable trust created by Margrave. Upon his death, the proceeds were paid to the trust. The Tax Court held that these proceeds were not includable in Margrave's gross estate under sections 2042 or 2041 of the Internal Revenue Code. The court reasoned that Margrave lacked any incidents of ownership over the policy and did not possess a power of appointment over the proceeds because his wife, as the policy owner, retained all rights to change the beneficiary, and the trust's terms were extinguished upon his death. This case underscores the importance of the decedent's control over the policy and the trust's terms in determining estate tax liability.

Facts

Robert Margrave established a revocable trust in 1966, retaining the right to modify or revoke it during his lifetime. In 1970, his wife, Glenda Margrave, purchased a life insurance policy on his life, naming the trust as the beneficiary. Margrave, as the insured, signed the application. Glenda Margrave owned the policy and paid the premiums. Upon Margrave's death in 1973, the insurance proceeds were paid to the trust. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue argued that the proceeds should be included in Margrave's gross estate under sections 2042 and 2041 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Procedural History

The executor of Margrave's estate filed a federal estate tax return in 1974. The Commissioner determined a deficiency and included the insurance proceeds in the gross estate. The executor petitioned the United States Tax Court for a redetermination of the deficiency. The Tax Court, in a majority opinion, ruled in favor of the estate, holding that the proceeds were not includable in the gross estate.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the life insurance proceeds payable to the revocable trust are includable in the gross estate under section 2042 of the Internal Revenue Code because Margrave possessed incidents of ownership in the policy.
2. Whether the life insurance proceeds are includable in the gross estate under

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

section 2041 of the Internal Revenue Code because Margrave had a general power of appointment over the proceeds.

Holding

1. No, because Margrave did not possess any incidents of ownership in the policy; his wife retained all rights and paid the premiums.
2. No, because Margrave did not possess a general power of appointment over the proceeds; his ability to modify or revoke the trust did not extend to the proceeds, which were contingent on his death and subject to his wife's control over the beneficiary designation.

Court's Reasoning

The court focused on Margrave's lack of control over the policy and the proceeds. Under section 2042, the court held that Margrave did not possess any incidents of ownership because his wife owned the policy and had the right to change the beneficiary without his consent. The court distinguished cases where the decedent had some control over the policy or proceeds, emphasizing that Margrave's interest was merely an expectancy subject to his wife's absolute discretion. Regarding section 2041, the court determined that Margrave did not have a general power of appointment over the proceeds because they were not "property" in existence during his lifetime, and his power to modify or revoke the trust was extinguished upon his death. The court rejected the Commissioner's argument of a prearranged plan, citing the testimony of the insurance agent who sold the policy. The concurring and dissenting opinions debated the existence of a prearranged plan and the interpretation of "property" under section 2041, but the majority's view prevailed.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that life insurance proceeds payable to a revocable trust are not automatically includable in the gross estate. Practitioners must carefully assess the decedent's control over the policy and the trust's terms. The ruling highlights the significance of the policy owner's rights and the timing of the proceeds' vesting. For estate planning, this case suggests that using a spouse to own a life insurance policy can effectively exclude proceeds from the insured's estate, provided the insured has no control over the policy or the trust's terms. Subsequent cases have applied this ruling to similar situations, reinforcing the principle that control over the policy and the trust's terms is crucial in determining estate tax liability.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.