

## ***Christian Stewardship Assistance, Inc. v. Commissioner, 70 T. C. 1046 (1978)***

An organization is not eligible for tax-exempt status under section 501(c)(3) if its primary activity serves private interests, even if it also furthers exempt purposes.

### **Summary**

Christian Stewardship Assistance, Inc. sought tax-exempt status under section 501(c)(3) for its financial planning services aimed at increasing donations to Christian organizations. The Tax Court denied the exemption, ruling that the organization's primary activity of providing tax planning advice to wealthy individuals to reduce their personal tax liabilities served private interests. This decision was based on the operational test, which requires that an organization be operated exclusively for exempt purposes. The court found that the private benefits derived from the tax advice were substantial and thus disqualified the organization from exemption.

### **Facts**

Christian Stewardship Assistance, Inc. was incorporated in Texas in 1975 to assist religious and educational organizations in their fundraising efforts. The organization provided financial planning services to wealthy individuals (net worth over \$500,000) who contributed to Christian organizations. These services included advice on increasing current or deferred donations through tax-efficient financial plans, which also reduced the individuals' federal income and estate taxes. The organization charged fees to the Christian organizations it assisted, based on a percentage of their developmental budgets, and also received voluntary donations from individuals.

### **Procedural History**

Christian Stewardship Assistance, Inc. applied for tax-exempt status under section 501(c)(3) in 1975. The IRS initially denied the exemption in 1976, and after further review, issued a final adverse determination in 1977. The organization then sought a declaratory judgment in the Tax Court, which upheld the IRS's determination in 1978.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether Christian Stewardship Assistance, Inc. qualifies for tax-exempt status under section 501(c)(3) based on its operational activities.

### **Holding**

1. No, because the organization's primary activity of providing tax planning advice to reduce personal tax liabilities of wealthy individuals constitutes a substantial

nonexempt purpose, thereby failing the operational test for tax exemption under section 501(c)(3).

### **Court's Reasoning**

The court applied the operational test, which requires that an organization engage primarily in activities that further exempt purposes. The court found that the organization's sole activity of financial planning, while aimed at increasing donations to Christian organizations, also provided substantial private benefits to wealthy individuals in the form of tax savings. The court cited the IRS regulations stating that an organization is not exempt if more than an insubstantial part of its activities does not further an exempt purpose. The court rejected the organization's argument that the tax benefits were incidental, emphasizing that the private benefits were significant enough to deny exempt status. The court also distinguished the organization's activities from those of other charitable organizations, noting that its sole function was fundraising intertwined with tax planning, which served private interests. The court referenced prior cases like *Better Business Bureau v. United States* and *American Institute for Economic Research v. United States* to support its decision.

### **Practical Implications**

This decision clarifies that organizations seeking tax-exempt status under section 501(c)(3) must ensure their primary activities further exempt purposes without providing substantial private benefits. Legal practitioners should advise clients that even if an organization's activities indirectly benefit charitable causes, a substantial nonexempt purpose, such as providing tax planning services to individuals, can disqualify the organization from exemption. This ruling may impact how financial planning and fundraising organizations structure their operations to avoid similar denials. Subsequent cases may need to carefully analyze the balance between exempt and nonexempt purposes in their activities. The decision also underscores the importance of the operational test in determining tax-exempt status, emphasizing that organizations must be operated exclusively for exempt purposes.