

## ***Tufts v. Commissioner, 70 T. C. 756 (1978)***

When selling a partnership interest, the full amount of nonrecourse liabilities must be included in the amount realized, even if the liability exceeds the fair market value of the partnership's assets.

### **Summary**

The Tufts case addressed the tax treatment of nonrecourse liabilities upon the sale of partnership interests. The partners in Westwood Townhouses sold their interests in a complex with a nonrecourse mortgage exceeding its fair market value. The Tax Court held that the full amount of the nonrecourse liability must be included in the amount realized from the sale, aligning with the Crane doctrine to prevent double deductions. This decision clarified that the fair market value limitation in Section 752(c) of the Internal Revenue Code does not apply to sales of partnership interests, impacting how such transactions are analyzed for tax purposes.

### **Facts**

In 1970, partners formed Westwood Townhouses to construct an apartment complex in Duncanville, Texas, financed by a \$1,851,500 nonrecourse mortgage. By August 1972, due to economic conditions, the complex's fair market value was \$1,400,000, while the mortgage remained at \$1,851,500. The partners sold their interests to Fred Bayles, who assumed the mortgage but paid no other consideration. The partners had claimed losses based on the partnership's operations, increasing their basis in the partnership by the full amount of the nonrecourse debt.

### **Procedural History**

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined deficiencies in the partners' federal income taxes, asserting they realized gains on the sale of their partnership interests due to the inclusion of the full nonrecourse liability in the amount realized. The partners challenged this in the U. S. Tax Court, arguing that the amount realized should be limited to the fair market value of the complex. The Tax Court rejected their argument and upheld the Commissioner's determination.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether the amount realized by the partners upon the sale of their partnership interests includes the full amount of the nonrecourse liabilities, even if such liabilities exceed the fair market value of the partnership property.
2. Whether the partners are entitled to an award of attorney's fees under the Civil Rights Attorney's Fees Awards Act of 1976.

### **Holding**

1. Yes, because the full amount of nonrecourse liabilities must be included in the

amount realized upon the sale of a partnership interest, consistent with the Crane doctrine and Section 752(d) of the Internal Revenue Code, which treats liabilities in partnership interest sales similarly to sales of other property.

2. No, because the Tax Court lacks the authority to award attorney's fees under the Civil Rights Attorney's Fees Awards Act of 1976 or any other law.

### **Court's Reasoning**

The court applied the Crane doctrine, which holds that nonrecourse liabilities must be included in the amount realized to prevent double deductions for the same economic loss. The court reasoned that since the partners had included the full nonrecourse liability in their basis to claim losses, they must include the same amount in the amount realized upon sale. The court rejected the partners' argument that Section 752(c)'s fair market value limitation should apply, finding that Section 752(d) treats partnership interest sales independently of this limitation. The court also found no authority to award attorney's fees under the Civil Rights Attorney's Fees Awards Act of 1976, as it applies only to prevailing parties, and the court lacked such authority in tax cases.

### **Practical Implications**

This decision impacts how nonrecourse liabilities are treated in partnership interest sales, requiring the full liability to be included in the amount realized, regardless of the underlying asset's value. This ruling influences tax planning for partnerships, particularly those with nonrecourse financing, as it affects the calculation of gain or loss on disposition. Practitioners must account for this when advising clients on partnership sales, ensuring that the tax consequences are accurately reported. The decision also reaffirms the limited applicability of Section 752(c), guiding future interpretations of similar cases. Subsequent cases, such as *Millar v. Commissioner*, have followed this precedent, solidifying the principle in tax law.