Budhwani v. Commissioner, 70 T. C. 287 (1978)

A foreign student's tax residency status for treaty exemption purposes depends on whether they are considered a resident for U. S. tax purposes.

Summary

In Budhwani v. Commissioner, the Tax Court ruled that a Pakistani student, who entered the U. S. on a student visa to study architecture, was a U. S. resident for tax purposes and thus not eligible for a tax exemption under the U. S. -Pakistan income tax treaty. The student, who worked for 14 months during his stay, was deemed to have established residency in the U. S. due to the extended nature and purpose of his stay. The court's decision hinged on the interpretation of residency under U. S. tax law and the treaty, emphasizing the importance of the student's intentions and the duration of their stay in determining tax obligations.

Facts

The petitioner, a Pakistani citizen, entered the U. S. in 1973 on a student visa to study architecture. He initially attended the University of Oregon, receiving a bachelor's degree in 1975. After graduation, he worked for an architectural firm in California for 14 months before resuming his studies at the University of Washington. In 1975, he reported $5,503. 45 in income from his employment, claiming it was exempt from U. S. tax under the U. S. -Pakistan income tax treaty. The IRS determined he was not eligible for the exemption, leading to this dispute.

Procedural History

The IRS issued a notice of deficiency for the 1975 tax year, asserting that the petitioner's income was not exempt under the treaty. The petitioner filed a petition with the U. S. Tax Court challenging this determination. The Tax Court, after reviewing the case, ruled in favor of the Commissioner.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the petitioner was a "resident of Pakistan" within the meaning of the U. S. -Pakistan income tax treaty, thus qualifying for an exemption from U. S. tax on his 1975 income.

Holding

1. No, because the petitioner was considered a resident of the U. S. for tax purposes in 1975, which disqualified him from being a "resident of Pakistan" under the treaty's definition.

Court's Reasoning

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

The court focused on the treaty's definition of "resident of Pakistan," which excludes individuals resident in the U. S. for U. S. tax purposes. The court applied U. S. tax regulations, particularly section 1. 871-2(b), to determine that the petitioner was a U. S. resident. The court noted that the petitioner's stay in the U. S. was not limited to a definite period by immigration laws and was necessary for achieving his broader educational and professional objectives. The court distinguished the petitioner's case from Revenue Ruling 72-301, which involved a shorter stay and direct connection between work and education. The court emphasized that the petitioner's extended stay and economic activities in the U. S. indicated he was more than a transient or sojourner, thus establishing U. S. residency for tax purposes.

Practical Implications

This decision underscores the importance of determining tax residency status for foreign students under tax treaties. It highlights that the duration and purpose of a student's stay in the U. S. , along with their economic activities, can impact their eligibility for treaty exemptions. Legal practitioners advising foreign students should carefully assess their clients' residency status under U. S. tax law, as it can affect their tax obligations. The ruling may influence how similar cases are analyzed, particularly in distinguishing between students who are transients and those who establish residency. This case has been cited in subsequent rulings to clarify the application of tax treaties to foreign students and professionals.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.