

## ***Estate of Green v. Commissioner, 70 T. C. 373 (1978)***

The Tax Court may lack jurisdiction to determine deficiencies for additional self-dealing taxes under section 4941(b)(1) until such taxes are imposed, which occurs only after the court's decision becomes final.

### **Summary**

In *Estate of Green v. Commissioner*, the Tax Court had previously ruled that the petitioner was liable for an initial 5% excise tax for acts of self-dealing under section 4941(a)(1). The Commissioner then sought a deficiency determination for an additional tax under section 4941(b)(1), which is imposed if the self-dealing act is not corrected within the correction period. The court identified a jurisdictional issue: a deficiency cannot be determined until the tax is imposed, but the additional tax under section 4941(b)(1) is not imposed until the Tax Court's decision becomes final. This circularity led the court to question its authority to enter a decision on the additional tax deficiency, ordering briefs on this issue.

### **Facts**

The Tax Court had previously sustained the Commissioner's determination that the petitioner was subject to a 5% excise tax for acts of self-dealing with a private foundation under section 4941(a)(1). The Commissioner then asserted a deficiency under section 4941(b)(1), which imposes an additional tax if the self-dealing act is not corrected within the correction period. The correction period does not expire until the Tax Court's decision becomes final.

### **Procedural History**

The Tax Court initially filed its Findings of Fact and Opinion on May 30, 1978, sustaining the 5% excise tax under section 4941(a)(1). Subsequently, the Commissioner sought a deficiency determination for the additional tax under section 4941(b)(1). The court identified a potential jurisdictional issue and ordered the parties to submit briefs on whether it had the authority to determine such a deficiency.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether the Tax Court has the statutory authority to determine a deficiency in the additional tax under section 4941(b)(1) before the tax is imposed, which occurs only after the court's decision becomes final.

### **Holding**

1. Undecided: The court ordered briefs to address this issue, indicating it had not yet determined whether it had the authority to enter a decision on the deficiency for the additional tax under section 4941(b)(1).

## **Court's Reasoning**

The court's jurisdiction is limited to redetermining deficiencies asserted by the Commissioner, as defined in section 6211(a), which requires the tax to be "imposed" before a deficiency can exist. Section 4941(b)(1) imposes an additional tax if the self-dealing act is not corrected within the correction period, which extends until the Tax Court's decision becomes final. This creates a circular problem: the court cannot determine a deficiency until the tax is imposed, but the tax is not imposed until the court's decision is final. The court referenced statutory provisions and prior case law to be considered in the briefs, including the definition of "deficiency," the court's jurisdiction, and congressional intent behind the self-dealing tax provisions.

## **Practical Implications**

This case highlights the potential jurisdictional limits of the Tax Court in determining deficiencies for additional self-dealing taxes. Practitioners should be aware that the court may lack authority to enter a decision on such deficiencies until its initial decision becomes final, which could delay resolution of these cases. The outcome of this case could impact how similar cases are handled, potentially requiring separate proceedings or legislative changes to clarify the Tax Court's jurisdiction. It also underscores the importance of timely correcting acts of self-dealing to avoid additional taxes and related jurisdictional issues.