

Tracy v. Commissioner, 70 T. C. 397 (1978)

Alimony payments are deductible if the obligation may be paid over a period exceeding 10 years from the date of the divorce decree.

Summary

In *Tracy v. Commissioner*, the U. S. Tax Court addressed whether monthly alimony payments could be deducted under IRC section 215. The taxpayer, John Tracy, was obligated to pay his ex-wife, Jacqueline, \$60,000 in alimony over 120 monthly installments, starting January 15, 1971. The court held that these payments were deductible because Mississippi law allowed payments within 30 days of the due date, extending the payment period past the required 10 years. However, car lease payments made to Jacqueline were not deductible as they were part of a property settlement, not alimony. This case clarifies the criteria for alimony deductibility under federal tax law when state law influences the timing of payments.

Facts

John Tracy was divorced from Jacqueline Wantz Tracy on December 18, 1970, by a Mississippi court decree. The decree required John to pay Jacqueline \$60,000 in alimony in 120 monthly installments of \$500, starting January 15, 1971. The decree also stipulated that John could prepay the lump sum, and payments would cease upon Jacqueline's death. Additionally, John was to pay Jacqueline \$6,000 in cash, provide her with health insurance, pay attorney fees, and transfer a car and household items to her. John continued to make lease payments on the car, totaling \$1,540 in 1971. Both parties treated the alimony payments as deductible and includable in income for tax purposes.

Procedural History

John Tracy filed a joint tax return for 1971 with his new wife, claiming a deduction for alimony payments. The IRS disallowed the deduction, leading to a deficiency notice in 1976. John petitioned the U. S. Tax Court for relief. The Tax Court reviewed the case, focusing on whether the payments qualified as deductible alimony under IRC section 215 and whether car lease payments were also deductible.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the monthly payments of \$500 made to Jacqueline Tracy are deductible under IRC section 215 as alimony?
2. Whether the amounts paid by John Tracy for Jacqueline's car lease are deductible under IRC section 215?

Holding

1. Yes, because the payments may be made over a period exceeding 10 years from the date of the divorce decree under Mississippi law.
2. No, because the car lease payments were part of a property settlement and not alimony.

Court's Reasoning

The court determined that the alimony payments were deductible because they could be made over a period exceeding 10 years from the date of the decree. The court relied on the interpretation by the Mississippi Chancery Court, which allowed payments within 30 days of the due date, thus extending the period beyond 10 years. The court emphasized that federal tax law looks to state law for interpreting legal interests and rights, and found that the parties' intent was for the payments to be deductible. However, the car lease payments were deemed part of a property settlement, not alimony, and thus not deductible. The court noted that the decree treated the car as part of the property settlement, not support, and the manner of payment did not change this classification.

Practical Implications

This decision impacts how attorneys draft divorce decrees to ensure alimony payments are deductible. It highlights the importance of understanding state law regarding payment deadlines, as these can affect the deductibility of alimony under federal tax law. Practitioners should ensure that alimony obligations explicitly allow for payments over a period exceeding 10 years to meet IRC section 215 requirements. The ruling also clarifies that payments related to property settlements, even if paid in installments, do not qualify as alimony for tax purposes. Subsequent cases have referenced *Tracy v. Commissioner* when addressing the deductibility of alimony payments and the distinction between alimony and property settlements.