# Ali v. Commissioner, 73 T. C. 295 (1979)

The court clarified the criteria for tax residency under the U. S. -Pakistan tax treaty, focusing on whether a Pakistani student in the U. S. was a resident of Pakistan and present solely as a student.

## Summary

In Ali v. Commissioner, the Tax Court addressed whether a Pakistani student's \$5,000 income earned in the U. S. in 1974 was exempt from U. S. tax under the U. S. -Pakistan tax treaty. The court determined that the student, who worked full-time while studying part-time, did not qualify for the exemption because he was considered a U. S. resident for tax purposes and was not in the U. S. solely as a student. The decision hinged on the student's extended stay, full-time employment, and slow educational progress, which indicated he was not merely a transient in the U. S.

#### Facts

The petitioner, a Pakistani citizen, entered the U. S. in 1973 on an F-1 student visa to study mechanical engineering at a Chicago community college. He worked full-time at Continental Machine Co. from June 1973, which related to his studies but violated his visa's employment restrictions. By 1974, he had completed only 27 of 42 attempted credit hours. He did not pay taxes to Pakistan on his U. S. earnings and applied for U. S. permanent residency in 1975 due to financial issues in Pakistan.

## **Procedural History**

The IRS determined a tax deficiency for 1974, leading the petitioner to file a petition with the U. S. Tax Court. The court's decision focused solely on whether the petitioner qualified for a \$5,000 income exclusion under the U. S. -Pakistan tax treaty.

## Issue(s)

1. Whether the petitioner was a resident of Pakistan for the purposes of the U. S. - Pakistan tax treaty in 1974.

2. Whether the petitioner was temporarily present in the U. S. solely as a student during 1974.

## Holding

 No, because the petitioner was not subject to Pakistan tax and was a resident of the U. S. for U. S. tax purposes due to his extended stay and full-time employment.
No, because the petitioner's full-time employment and slow educational progress indicated he was not in the U. S. solely as a student.

#### **Court's Reasoning**

The court applied the U. S. -Pakistan tax treaty definitions of residency, emphasizing that the petitioner must be a resident of Pakistan for Pakistan tax purposes and not a U. S. resident for U. S. tax purposes to qualify for the exclusion. The court found no evidence that the petitioner was subject to Pakistan tax. For U. S. residency, the court used IRS regulations to determine that the petitioner's extended stay, full-time employment, and slow progress in education indicated he was not a transient but a U. S. resident. The court also noted that the petitioner's full-time job violated his student visa's terms, further indicating he was not in the U. S. solely as a student. The decision was influenced by the policy of preventing tax avoidance through misuse of student visa status.

## **Practical Implications**

This case informs how international students should structure their time in the U. S. to maintain eligibility for tax treaty benefits. It underscores the importance of adhering to visa conditions, particularly employment restrictions, to avoid being classified as a U. S. resident for tax purposes. Legal practitioners advising foreign students must carefully assess their clients' activities and intentions to ensure compliance with tax treaties. Businesses employing foreign students should be aware of these implications to avoid inadvertently affecting their employees' tax status. Subsequent cases, such as Escobar v. Commissioner, have applied similar reasoning to determine tax residency status.