

Shaw v. Commissioner, 69 T. C. 1034 (1978)

Only costs paid within one year before or after the sale of an old residence may be included in the cost of purchasing a new residence under Section 1034 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Summary

Charles and Joyce Shaw sold their old residence and moved into their reconstructed Fox Creek Ranch, which they had owned since 1963. They sought to include the ranch's pre-reconstruction fair market value in the "cost of purchasing" the new residence under Section 1034. The Tax Court held that only the costs of reconstruction paid within one year before or after the sale of the old residence could be included. The decision emphasized the temporal limitations set by Section 1034, affirming that the relief from gain recognition is available only for costs directly associated with the purchase or reconstruction of a new residence within the specified period.

Facts

Charles M. Shaw and Joyce J. Shaw sold their principal residence at 26 Portland Drive, Frontenac, Missouri, on March 1, 1973, for \$145,000. They then moved to Fox Creek Ranch, which they had acquired on November 15, 1963. Between March 1, 1972, and March 1, 1974, they spent \$98,791.29 on reconstructing Fox Creek Ranch, which they used as their new principal residence. On their 1973 tax return, they did not report any gain from the sale of their old residence, claiming that the fair market value of Fox Creek Ranch before reconstruction should be included in the cost of purchasing the new residence.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency in the Shaws' 1973 federal income tax. The Shaws petitioned the U. S. Tax Court for relief. The Tax Court, with Judge Simpson presiding, ruled in favor of the Commissioner, holding that only the reconstruction costs paid within the specified period could be considered under Section 1034.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the fair market value of a new principal residence, acquired more than one year prior to the sale of the old residence, can be included in the "cost of purchasing the new residence" under Section 1034 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Holding

1. No, because Section 1034 and its regulations limit the cost of purchasing the new residence to costs paid within one year before or after the sale of the old residence.

Court's Reasoning

The court applied Section 1034(c)(2) and the relevant Treasury regulations, which clearly state that only costs paid within one year before or after the sale of the old residence can be included in the cost of purchasing the new residence. The court emphasized that Congress intended Section 1034 to allow taxpayers to defer recognition of gain when the proceeds from selling an old residence are used to purchase a new one within a short period. The court cited previous cases like *Kern v. Granquist* and *McCall v. Patterson*, which upheld the strict application of Section 1034's time limitations. The Shaws failed to provide evidence of costs paid within the specified period for acquiring Fox Creek Ranch, and their argument that the ranch's value at the time of moving in should be included was rejected. The court found the regulations consistent with the legislative history and purpose of Section 1034, thus affirming the Commissioner's position.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that under Section 1034, only costs directly associated with the acquisition, construction, or reconstruction of a new residence within one year before or after the sale of the old residence can be used to defer gain recognition. Tax practitioners must advise clients that pre-existing property values cannot be included in the cost basis for Section 1034 purposes unless those costs were incurred within the specified period. This ruling impacts how taxpayers plan the sale and purchase of residences, emphasizing the need for timely financial transactions to qualify for tax relief. Subsequent cases like *Belin v. United States* have been distinguished on different grounds, reinforcing the strict interpretation of Section 1034's temporal limits.