

## ***Warner v. Commissioner, 72 T. C. 477 (1979)***

Transportation expenses for child care are not deductible under Section 214 of the Internal Revenue Code.

### **Summary**

In *Warner v. Commissioner*, Dorothy Warner sought to deduct \$520 in transportation costs for her son's travel between home and a child care center under Section 214 of the Internal Revenue Code. The Tax Court ruled against her, holding that such expenses are personal and not deductible under Section 214, which only allows deductions for the actual care of a qualifying individual. The court's decision was based on the Treasury regulations and the absence of any specific Congressional provision allowing transportation expenses as a deduction in this context.

### **Facts**

Dorothy E. Warner, a resident of Milford, Ohio, filed her 1974 Federal income tax return claiming a \$1,820 deduction for dependent care services for her preschool-age son, Lincoln. Of this amount, \$1,300 was for care services at the Blue Ash Educational Building Child Care Center and was allowed by the IRS. The remaining \$520 was for transportation costs between her home and the center, which the IRS disallowed, citing that transportation costs are not deductible under Section 214.

### **Procedural History**

Warner petitioned the U. S. Tax Court to challenge the IRS's disallowance of her transportation expense deduction. The Tax Court, with Judge Dawson presiding, heard the case and issued a decision that sustained the IRS's determination.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether transportation expenses for a qualifying individual to and from a child care center are deductible under Section 214 of the Internal Revenue Code.

### **Holding**

1. No, because transportation expenses are considered personal expenses and are not included within the scope of Section 214, which only allows deductions for the actual care of a qualifying individual.

### **Court's Reasoning**

The court relied on Section 262 of the Internal Revenue Code, which disallows deductions for personal, living, or family expenses unless otherwise provided. Section 214 allows deductions for the care of a qualifying individual but does not

mention transportation costs. The court also cited Treasury Regulation Section 1.214A-1(c)(3)(i), which specifically excludes transportation expenses from being considered as expenses for care. The court upheld the regulation as a reasonable implementation of the Congressional intent behind Section 214. It referenced Supreme Court precedents, such as *United States v. Correll*, to support the validity of Treasury regulations in interpreting tax statutes. The court rejected Warner's argument that transportation was part of the overall expense, noting that Congress had not provided for such deductions and that drawing a line between care and personal expenses was necessary.

### **Practical Implications**

*Warner v. Commissioner* clarified that taxpayers cannot claim deductions for transportation costs related to child care under Section 214. This decision impacts how taxpayers calculate their child care expenses for tax purposes and underscores the importance of distinguishing between care and transportation costs. Legal practitioners advising clients on tax deductions must be aware of this ruling when considering similar expenses. The decision also illustrates the deference courts give to Treasury regulations in interpreting tax statutes, which can affect how future tax-related cases are argued and decided. Subsequent tax legislation, such as the replacement of Section 214 with a tax credit under Section 44A, reflects an ongoing evolution in how child care expenses are treated for tax purposes.