Gamble v. Commissioner, 68 T. C. 800 (1977)

Livestock used in a taxpayer's business, but not held primarily for sale, may qualify for capital gains treatment under Section 1231 even if not held for draft, breeding, dairy, or sporting purposes.

Summary

Launce E. Gamble, engaged in the business of racing thoroughbred horses, purchased a pregnant broodmare, Champagne Woman, and later sold her foal at a significant profit. The IRS argued the gain should be treated as ordinary income, but the Tax Court held the foal was not held primarily for sale to customers and thus not subject to Section 1221(1). Instead, it was property used in Gamble's business under Section 1221(2), qualifying for capital gains treatment under Section 1231 because it was held for over six months, regardless of whether the 1969 amendments applied. The court also determined the foal's basis was $20,000, reflecting part of the purchase price of the pregnant mare.

Facts

Launce E. Gamble was involved in various business and investment interests, including racing thoroughbred horses. In November 1969, he purchased Champagne Woman, a broodmare pregnant with a foal sired by Raise A Native, for $60,000 at an auction. The foal, a colt, was born in April 1970 and sold at the Saratoga Yearling Sale in August 1971 for $125,000. Gamble had not trained or raced the colt, but it was handled in a manner consistent with future racing. The IRS determined a deficiency, asserting the gain from the sale should be treated as ordinary income rather than capital gain.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency in Gamble's 1971 income tax and classified the gain from the colt's sale as ordinary income. Gamble petitioned the Tax Court, which ruled in his favor, holding that the gain qualified for capital gains treatment under Section 1231.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the gain from the sale of the colt was ordinary income or capital gain under Sections 1221 and 1231 of the Internal Revenue Code.
2. What was the proper cost basis of the colt?

Holding

1. No, because the colt was not held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business under Section 1221(1), but it was property used in Gamble's business under Section 1221(2), thus qualifying for capital gains treatment under

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Section 1231(a).
2. The colt's basis was $20,000, reflecting part of the purchase price of Champagne Woman, the pregnant mare.

Court's Reasoning

The court analyzed whether the colt was held primarily for sale under Section 1221(1), concluding it was not, based on the stipulation that Gamble's business was racing horses, not selling them. The court then considered whether the colt was property used in Gamble's business under Section 1221(2), finding it was, as it was handled in a manner consistent with future racing. The court also determined that the colt qualified for capital gains treatment under Section 1231, as it was held for over six months. The court's decision applied irrespective of whether the 1969 amendments to Section 1231(b)(3) were applicable, as these amendments did not limit the general rule of Section 1231(b)(1). The court rejected the IRS's argument that the colt needed to be held for one of the four purposes specified in the amended Section 1231(b)(3) to qualify for capital gains treatment. The basis of the colt was determined by allocating a portion of the purchase price of Champagne Woman, reflecting the value of the unborn foal.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that livestock used in a taxpayer's business, even if not held for the specific purposes listed in Section 1231(b)(3), may still qualify for capital gains treatment under Section 1231(b)(1) if held for over six months. This ruling impacts how similar cases involving livestock sales should be analyzed, particularly in industries like horse racing where animals may be held for multiple potential uses. Practitioners should consider the broader application of Section 1231(b)(1) when advising clients on the tax treatment of livestock sales. The decision also has implications for how taxpayers allocate the cost basis of unborn livestock when purchasing pregnant animals, potentially affecting tax planning strategies in agriculture and animal breeding businesses. Subsequent cases have cited Gamble to support the broader application of Section 1231 to livestock sales, reinforcing its significance in tax law.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.