

## ***Hamilton v. Commissioner, 68 T. C. 603 (1977)***

Denying a dependency exemption for a former spouse in the year of divorce does not violate the Fifth Amendment's due process clause.

### **Summary**

Raleigh Hamilton sought a dependency exemption for his former spouse after their divorce in 1973. The IRS disallowed the exemption, prompting Hamilton to challenge the constitutionality of the relevant tax code sections. The U. S. Tax Court upheld the statutes, ruling that they did not violate the Fifth Amendment's due process clause. The decision was based on the reasonable classification of taxpayers and the administrative efficiency of not considering support in the context of marital relationships, even for part of the year.

### **Facts**

Raleigh Hamilton was divorced from his wife in 1973. He claimed a dependency exemption for her on his 1973 tax return, which was disallowed by the IRS. Hamilton's former spouse had no income and was not claimed as a dependent by anyone else. The relevant tax code sections (151(b), 152(a), and 153) did not allow Hamilton to claim the exemption because his former spouse was not his spouse at the end of the taxable year.

### **Procedural History**

Hamilton filed a petition in the U. S. Tax Court challenging the IRS's disallowance of the dependency exemption. The court heard the case and issued a decision upholding the constitutionality of the tax code sections in question.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether the denial of a dependency exemption for a former spouse in the year of divorce violates the equal protection and due process clauses of the Fourteenth Amendment.
2. Whether the same denial violates the due process clause of the Fifth Amendment.

### **Holding**

1. No, because the Fourteenth Amendment does not apply to federal tax statutes.
2. No, because denying a dependency exemption for a former spouse in the year of divorce is not arbitrary or capricious under the Fifth Amendment.

### **Court's Reasoning**

The court reasoned that the Fourteenth Amendment's protections against state actions do not extend to federal tax laws. Regarding the Fifth Amendment, the court

found that the tax code's classification of taxpayers and the exclusion of former spouses from dependency status were reasonable and not arbitrary. The court emphasized Congress's intent to eliminate the need for support determinations in marital relationships, which would be complicated and inefficient, especially in cases of part-year marriages. The court cited previous cases and legislative history to support its conclusion that the tax code provisions were constitutional.

### **Practical Implications**

This decision clarifies that taxpayers cannot claim dependency exemptions for former spouses in the year of divorce under the existing tax code. It reinforces the administrative efficiency argument for not requiring support calculations for marital relationships. Legal practitioners should advise clients to consider these rules when planning for tax exemptions following a divorce. The ruling may influence future cases involving the constitutionality of tax classifications and could be referenced in discussions about the balance between administrative efficiency and taxpayer rights. Subsequent cases have generally followed this precedent, maintaining the status quo in tax law regarding dependency exemptions for former spouses.