Estate of Uris v. Commissioner, 68 T. C. 448 (1977)

A distribution in redemption of stock reduces corporate earnings and profits only to the extent of the earnings and profits existing at the time of the redemption.

Summary

In Estate of Uris v. Commissioner, the U. S. Tax Court ruled on the tax treatment of a 1969 distribution by Uris Lexington, Inc. , to its shareholders, Percy and Harold Uris. The court held that the distribution was taxable as a dividend to the extent of the corporation's current and accumulated earnings and profits as of the distribution date. The key issue was whether a 1962 stock redemption, which exceeded the corporation's earnings and profits at that time, could reduce future earnings and profits. The court ruled that the redemption did not create a deficit in earnings and profits that could offset future earnings, affirming that only the earnings and profits at the time of the redemption could be reduced. This decision clarifies how stock redemptions affect corporate earnings and profits for tax purposes.

Facts

Uris Lexington, Inc. , was formed in 1954 by Percy and Harold Uris and other shareholders. In 1962, Uris Lexington redeemed the stock of its minority shareholders for $2,856,000, which exceeded the corporation's earnings and profits at that time. The funds for the redemption were borrowed against the corporation's office building. In 1969, Uris Lexington distributed $2,607,784 to Percy and Harold Uris. The IRS treated this distribution as a dividend taxable to the extent of Uris Lexington's current and accumulated earnings and profits. The taxpayers argued that the 1962 redemption created a deficit in earnings and profits that should offset the 1969 distribution, reducing its dividend component.

Procedural History

The IRS issued deficiency notices to Percy and Harold Uris for the 1969 distribution, treating it as a fully taxable dividend. The taxpayers petitioned the U. S. Tax Court, arguing that the 1962 redemption created a deficit in earnings and profits that should be applied against the 1969 distribution. The Tax Court ruled in favor of the IRS, holding that the 1962 redemption did not create a deficit that could reduce future earnings and profits.

Issue(s)

1. Whether a distribution in redemption of stock that exceeds the corporation's earnings and profits at the time of redemption can create a deficit in earnings and profits that offsets future earnings and profits?

Holding

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

1. No, because under I. R. C. § 312(a), a distribution in redemption of stock reduces earnings and profits only “to the extent thereof” at the time of the distribution. The 1962 redemption did not create a deficit that could offset future earnings and profits, and thus the 1969 distribution was taxable as a dividend to the extent of Uris Lexington’s current and accumulated earnings and profits at that time.

Court’s Reasoning

The court’s reasoning focused on the interpretation of I. R. C. §§ 312(a) and 312(e). Section 312(a) provides that earnings and profits are reduced by distributions “to the extent thereof,” meaning only to the extent of earnings and profits existing at the time of the distribution. Section 312(e) specifies that in a redemption under § 302(a), only the portion of the distribution properly chargeable to capital account is not treated as a distribution of earnings and profits. The court concluded that the excess of the 1962 redemption over the amount chargeable to capital could only reduce earnings and profits existing in 1962 and could not create a deficit to offset future earnings. The court relied on prior case law, including Meyer v. Commissioner, which established that deficits from stock redemptions do not have to be restored before earnings are available for dividends. The court also rejected the taxpayers’ argument that the redemption should be treated differently because it was funded by borrowing, emphasizing that the source of funds for a redemption does not affect its tax treatment.

Practical Implications

This decision has significant implications for corporate tax planning and the treatment of stock redemptions. It clarifies that a redemption distribution cannot create a deficit in earnings and profits that offsets future earnings, ensuring that subsequent distributions are taxable as dividends to the extent of current and accumulated earnings and profits. This ruling affects how corporations structure redemptions and how shareholders report distributions for tax purposes. It also impacts the timing and structuring of corporate distributions, as companies must consider the immediate impact on earnings and profits rather than anticipating a future offset. Subsequent cases, such as Anderson v. Commissioner, have followed this reasoning, reinforcing the principle that only earnings and profits at the time of a redemption are affected by the distribution.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.