Buena Vista Farms, Inc. v. Commissioner, 68 T. C. 405 (1977)

Contractual rights to receive income from the sale of noncapital assets are not themselves capital assets, and their sale results in ordinary income.

Summary

Buena Vista Farms sold water to the State of California for aqueduct construction and received a contractual right to future water in exchange. In 1971, the company sold 10% of this right for \$105,279, which it reported as capital gain. The Tax Court ruled that since the water was held primarily for sale in the ordinary course of business, the contractual right to receive water in exchange was a right to ordinary income, not a capital asset. Thus, the gain from selling this right was taxable as ordinary income.

Facts

Buena Vista Farms, Inc., a corporate farmer, sold water to tenants and other purchasers as part of its business operations. In 1964, it entered into a 'Preconsolidation Water Agreement' with the State of California to supply water for aqueduct construction. In exchange, Buena Vista received the right to 131,600 acrefeet of water upon aqueduct completion. By 1968, all water was delivered to the State. In 1971, before receiving any of the promised water, Buena Vista sold 10% of its right to this water for \$105,279, which it reported as long-term capital gain.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency in Buena Vista's 1971 Federal income tax, classifying the \$105,279 as ordinary income rather than capital gain. Buena Vista Farms filed a petition with the United States Tax Court, which heard the case and ruled in favor of the Commissioner.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the gain realized by Buena Vista Farms from the sale of a portion of its contractual right to receive water from the State of California is capital gain or ordinary income?

Holding

1. No, because the water sold to the State was held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of Buena Vista's business, making the contractual right to receive water in exchange a right to ordinary income, not a capital asset.

Court's Reasoning

The court applied Section 1221 of the Internal Revenue Code, which excludes

property held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business from being classified as a capital asset. Buena Vista consistently sold water as part of its business, treating it as inventory and reporting sales as ordinary income. The court determined that the contractual right to receive water in exchange was merely a substitute for cash payment for the water sold to the State, thus representing a right to ordinary income. The court cited precedents like *Commissioner v. Gillette Motor Co.* to support its view that not all property interests qualify as capital assets. The court rejected Buena Vista's argument that the contract right was a separate capital asset, emphasizing that the nature of the underlying transaction (sale of water) determined the character of the contract right as ordinary income.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that contractual rights to receive income from noncapital assets are not themselves capital assets. Tax practitioners must carefully analyze whether assets sold are held primarily for sale in the ordinary course of business, as this classification impacts the tax treatment of subsequent rights or payments received. Businesses selling inventory or services should be aware that any contractual rights received in exchange for such sales are likely to be treated as ordinary income if sold. This case has been cited in subsequent decisions like *Kingsbury v. Commissioner* and *Westchester Development Co. v. Commissioner* to uphold the principle that the sale of rights to ordinary income results in ordinary income taxation.