Dowd v. Commissioner, 68 T. C. 294 (1977)

Payments to creditors made after bankruptcy by a cash basis taxpayer can be deducted as costs of goods sold or business expenses if they relate to pre-bankruptcy business activities.

Summary

In Dowd v. Commissioner, John Dowd, a bankrupt coin broker, made payments to his creditors in 1969 from non-bankruptcy estate funds. The payments were for debts incurred in 1963, related to his business of buying and selling currency. The court held that these payments, representing costs of goods sold from his former business, were deductible in 1969 under the cash method of accounting. Additionally, related legal fees and court costs were also deductible to the extent they pertained to business-related claims. The case underscores that bankruptcy does not alter the deductibility of business expenses if paid post-discharge.

Facts

John Dowd operated a coin and currency brokerage until 1963 when he filed for bankruptcy due to inability to pay for over $400,000 in currency purchases. In 1969, before his discharge from bankruptcy, Dowd paid his creditors 15% of their claims directly, using funds outside the bankruptcy estate. These payments totaled $69,908. 67 and were related to costs of goods sold from his 1963 business. Additionally, Dowd incurred $7,532. 27 in legal fees and court costs solely related to the proceedings authorizing these payments.

Procedural History

Dowd filed a joint federal income tax return for 1969, claiming deductions for the payments to creditors and related legal expenses. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency, arguing these payments were not deductible. Dowd petitioned the U. S. Tax Court, which ruled in his favor, allowing deductions for payments related to his 1963 business activities.

Issue(s)

1. Whether payments made by a bankrupt to creditors in 1969 for debts incurred in 1963 are deductible as costs of goods sold or business expenses under the cash method of accounting.
2. Whether legal fees and court costs incurred in 1969 for proceedings related to these payments are deductible.

Holding

1. Yes, because the payments, though made post-bankruptcy, were for costs of goods sold from Dowd’s 1963 business, and thus deductible in 1969 under the cash method

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

of accounting.
2. Yes, because the legal fees and court costs were directly related to the business-related claims settled in the 1969 payments, making them deductible as business expenses.

Court’s Reasoning

The court reasoned that the nature of the payments as costs of goods sold did not change due to the intervening bankruptcy. They applied the cash method of accounting principle that a deduction is allowed when payment is made, not when the liability arises. The court cited Deputy v. duPont and Helvering v. Price to support this principle. They also distinguished the case from Mueller v. Commissioner, emphasizing that Dowd’s payments were not structured to circumvent tax laws but were legitimate business expenses. The court rejected the Commissioner’s arguments that the payments were capital expenditures or against public policy, noting the transparency and court approval of the payment process. For the legal fees, the court used the origin and character test from United States v. Gilmore, allowing deductions for fees related to business claims.

Practical Implications

This decision impacts how cash basis taxpayers handle deductions for pre-bankruptcy business expenses paid post-discharge. It establishes that such payments retain their character as business expenses or costs of goods sold, allowing for deductions in the year paid. Legal practitioners should advise clients on the deductibility of payments made outside bankruptcy proceedings, especially when related to prior business activities. The ruling also highlights the importance of documenting the business nature of debts and related legal expenses to support deductions. Subsequent cases, like Brenner v. Commissioner, have cited Dowd to affirm the deductibility of post-bankruptcy payments for pre-existing business debts.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.