Focht v. Commissioner, 68 T. C. 223 (1977)

Deductible liabilities of a cash method taxpayer are not considered 'liabilities' for gain recognition purposes under sections 357 and 358 of the Internal Revenue Code in a corporate transfer.

Summary

Donald Focht transferred his plumbing and heating sole proprietorship's assets and liabilities to a newly formed corporation in 1970. The liabilities assumed by the corporation exceeded the assets' adjusted basis, which could have triggered gain recognition under section 357(c). The Tax Court held that deductible liabilities, which would have been deductible if paid by Focht, should not be treated as 'liabilities' under sections 357 and 358. This decision overturned prior rulings and established a new principle for cash method taxpayers, preventing gain recognition on the transfer of deductible liabilities. The court also addressed Focht's unreported rental income and disallowed certain deductions due to lack of substantiation.

Facts

Donald D. Focht operated a plumbing and heating service as a sole proprietorship until December 23, 1969, when he incorporated it to limit his liability. In 1970, he transferred all assets and liabilities of the proprietorship to the new corporation, Don Focht Plumbing & Heating, Inc. , in exchange for all its stock. The transferred assets included accounts receivable, cash, inventory, and fixed assets, with a total adjusted basis of $35,467. The liabilities assumed by the corporation totaled $88,979, exceeding the assets' basis by $53,512. Focht did not report any gain from this exchange on his 1970 tax return. Additionally, he underreported rental income by $1,979 and claimed various deductions that were partly disallowed by the IRS.

Procedural History

The IRS issued a notice of deficiency to Focht for 1970, asserting a $22,699 tax deficiency due to unreported gain from the transfer, unreported rental income, and disallowed deductions. Focht contested this in the U. S. Tax Court, which had previously ruled in similar cases that all liabilities, including accounts payable, should be included in calculating gain under section 357(c). However, influenced by recent appellate decisions and academic commentary, the Tax Court reconsidered its stance and issued a new ruling in Focht's case.

Issue(s)

1. Whether gain is recognized under section 357(c) upon the transfer of a cash method taxpayer's sole proprietorship assets and liabilities to a controlled corporation when the liabilities assumed exceed the total adjusted basis of the transferred assets?
2. Whether Focht failed to include $2,094 of receipts as rental income for his 1970

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

taxable year?
3. Whether Focht is entitled to various deductions in excess of the amounts allowed by the IRS?

Holding

1. No, because the court held that an obligation to the extent that its payment would have been deductible if made by the transferor should not be treated as a liability for purposes of sections 357 and 358.
2. No, because the correct amount of unreported rental income was determined to be $1,979.
3. No, because Focht did not provide sufficient evidence to rebut the IRS's disallowance of his claimed deductions.

Court's Reasoning

The court's decision to exclude deductible liabilities from the calculation of gain under section 357(c) was based on a reinterpretation of the term 'liabilities' in light of the legislative history and prior case law. The court noted that Congress intended to prevent gain recognition in corporate reorganizations unless the transferor realized economic benefit, which was not the case with deductible liabilities. The court cited *United States v. Hendler* and *Crane v. Commissioner* to support its view that deductible liabilities should not be considered in gain calculations. The court also considered the practical implications of its prior rulings, which had led to harsh results for cash method taxpayers. The decision was influenced by recent appellate court decisions and academic commentary suggesting a more nuanced approach to defining 'liabilities. ' The court rejected its prior mechanical application of the statute, which had included all liabilities regardless of their deductibility. The court's ruling also addressed Focht's unreported rental income and disallowed deductions, finding that Focht failed to substantiate his claims.

Practical Implications

This decision significantly impacts how cash method taxpayers should analyze corporate transfers under sections 351 and 357 of the IRC. Practitioners must now exclude deductible liabilities when calculating gain recognition, potentially reducing tax liabilities for their clients. The ruling also highlights the importance of appellate court decisions and academic commentary in shaping tax law interpretations. Businesses considering incorporation should carefully assess their liabilities to determine which are deductible and thus excluded from gain calculations. The decision may influence future IRS guidance and could lead to legislative amendments to clarify the treatment of liabilities in corporate reorganizations. Subsequent cases have applied this ruling to similar situations, and it remains a key precedent in tax law.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.