

***Estate of Charles A. Bahr, Sr. , Deceased, Texas Commerce Bank National Association, Co-Independent Executor, Petitioner v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Respondent, 68 T. C. 74 (1977)***

Interest expense incurred by an estate on deferred payment of estate tax is deductible as an administration expense under Section 2053(a)(2).

**Summary**

The Estate of Charles A. Bahr, Sr. , sought to deduct interest on deferred estate tax payments, arguing it was an administration expense. The estate's assets were primarily non-income-producing land, making immediate payment difficult without forced sales. The Tax Court held that such interest is deductible, distinguishing it from the tax itself and overruling the IRS's position supported by the Ballance case and Revenue Ruling 75-239. The court emphasized that interest, even when owed to the government, is a cost of using money, not a penalty, and thus deductible if it prevents loss from asset sales.

**Facts**

Charles A. Bahr, Sr. , died in 1971, leaving an estate with significant interests in undeveloped land in Texas. The estate requested and was granted extensions for paying estate taxes under IRC Section 6161, to avoid forced sales of assets. The estate made partial payments of tax and interest and claimed deductions for the interest on its federal income tax returns. The IRS disallowed a deduction for projected interest payments on the estate tax return, prompting the estate to appeal.

**Procedural History**

The estate filed a federal estate tax return in 1972, reflecting a tax liability of \$3,395,344. 70. The IRS assessed a deficiency in 1973, which the estate paid with further extensions granted under Section 6161. The estate claimed a deduction for interest on deferred payments, which the IRS disallowed. The estate then petitioned the U. S. Tax Court, which ruled in favor of the estate, allowing the interest deduction.

**Issue(s)**

1. Whether interest expense incurred by the estate on the unpaid balance of its federal estate tax liability, deferred under IRC Section 6161, is deductible as an administration expense under IRC Section 2053(a)(2).

**Holding**

1. Yes, because the interest expense is considered an administration expense under Section 2053(a)(2), as it was incurred to prevent financial loss to the estate from forced sales of assets.

## **Court's Reasoning**

The court reasoned that interest on deferred tax payments, though administratively treated as part of the tax, is fundamentally a cost for the use of money and not a tax itself. The court cited precedents like *Estate of Huntington* and *Estate of Todd*, where interest on loans taken to pay estate taxes was deductible. The court rejected the IRS's reliance on *Ballance v. United States*, which treated interest as part of the tax, stating that *Ballance* was an outlier and that interest under the 1954 Code is treated uniformly across all taxes. The court also invalidated Revenue Ruling 75-239, which followed *Ballance*. The majority emphasized that the purpose of the interest deduction was to preserve estate assets from forced sales, aligning with the policy of allowing administration expenses.

## **Practical Implications**

This decision clarifies that estates can deduct interest on deferred estate tax payments as administration expenses, even when the interest is owed to the government. Practitioners should advise estates to claim such deductions when deferring tax payments under Section 6161 to avoid forced asset sales. The ruling impacts estate planning by allowing estates more flexibility in managing cash flow without incurring additional tax burdens. It also potentially affects IRS policy, as it invalidates Revenue Ruling 75-239. Subsequent cases have followed this precedent, reinforcing the deductibility of such interest.