

Janet S. Ticktin Garfinkel, Formerly Janet S. Ticktin, Petitioner v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Respondent, 67 T. C. 1028 (1977)

The IRS may issue a separate notice of deficiency to one spouse for a joint tax return, even when the other spouse is deceased or the statute of limitations has expired for that spouse.

Summary

Janet S. Ticktin Garfinkel challenged the IRS's jurisdiction to issue a separate notice of deficiency for the 1972 tax year, after her deceased husband's estate had requested a prompt assessment. The Tax Court upheld the IRS's authority to send a separate notice to Garfinkel, emphasizing that section 6212(b)(2) allows for either joint or separate notices for deficiencies on joint returns. The court reasoned that since the statute of limitations had expired for the husband's estate but not for Garfinkel, the IRS could validly issue her a separate notice to enforce her several liability.

Facts

Janet S. Ticktin Garfinkel and her deceased husband, Dr. Howard E. Ticktin, filed a joint tax return for 1972. After Dr. Ticktin's death, his estate requested a prompt assessment under section 6501(d). The IRS accepted the return as filed in August 1975. On October 7, 1976, the IRS issued a notice of deficiency to Garfinkel for the 1972 tax year, stating the deficiency arose from the joint return.

Procedural History

Garfinkel filed a timely petition with the Tax Court on January 3, 1977, and simultaneously moved to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction, arguing the notice of deficiency was invalid because it was not joint. The Tax Court assigned the case to a Special Trial Judge, who heard arguments and reviewed memoranda from both parties. The court ultimately adopted the Special Trial Judge's opinion and denied the motion to dismiss.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the IRS's issuance of a separate notice of deficiency to Garfinkel was valid under section 6212(b)(2) when the deficiency arose from a joint return filed with her deceased husband.

Holding

1. Yes, because section 6212(b)(2) permits the IRS to issue either a joint or separate notice of deficiency for a joint return, and the IRS was barred from issuing a notice to the deceased husband's estate due to the expired statute of limitations.

Court's Reasoning

The Tax Court relied on the interpretation of section 6212(b)(2) established in *Dolan v. Commissioner*, which clarified that the IRS may send separate notices of deficiency to enforce the several liability of each spouse on a joint return. The court noted that the legislative history of section 6212(b)(2) supported this interpretation, emphasizing that the provision for sending duplicate originals of joint notices was meant to ensure actual notice if the IRS chose to send a joint notice, not to prohibit separate notices. The court further reasoned that since the statute of limitations had expired for Dr. Ticktin's estate after a prompt assessment request, the IRS could not issue a notice to the estate, making the separate notice to Garfinkel the only viable option to enforce her liability. The court dismissed Garfinkel's attempt to distinguish *Dolan*, stating that the IRS's inability to issue a notice to the husband in *Dolan* was analogous to the expired limitations period for Dr. Ticktin's estate in this case.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that the IRS has flexibility in issuing deficiency notices for joint returns, allowing for separate notices to each spouse even when circumstances like death or expired statutes of limitations prevent a joint notice. Attorneys should be aware that challenging the validity of a separate notice based solely on the absence of a joint notice is unlikely to succeed. The ruling underscores the joint and several nature of liability on joint returns, reinforcing the IRS's ability to pursue one spouse independently. Practitioners should advise clients on the importance of timely requesting prompt assessments for deceased spouses to potentially limit IRS actions against surviving spouses. Subsequent cases have cited *Garfinkel* to support the IRS's authority in similar situations.