Cline v. Commissioner, 67 T. C. 889 (1977)

Royalty payments received in exchange for an economic interest in coal leases are taxable as capital gains if they result from a sale or exchange of that interest, held for less than 6 months.

Summary

In Cline v. Commissioner, the petitioners negotiated coal leases for Wolf Creek Collieries Co. and received royalty interests as compensation. Later, they exchanged these interests for a new contract providing royalties on all coal handled by Wolf Creek, regardless of source. The Tax Court held that this exchange constituted a sale of their original royalty interests, taxable as short-term capital gains because the interests were held for less than 6 months. The decision clarified the taxation of royalty payments when an economic interest in specific coal leases is exchanged for a broader royalty arrangement.

Facts

Herbert and John Cline negotiated coal leases for Wolf Creek Collieries Co. and, in return, received royalty interests in the York-Ratliff and Dempsey leases under a contract dated February 1, 1966. On December 30, 1966, the Clines sold their stock in Wolf Creek and simultaneously entered into a new contract, relinquishing their original royalty interests for a new right to receive royalties on all coal handled by Wolf Creek, regardless of its source. They reported these new royalties as long-term capital gains, but the Commissioner determined they constituted ordinary income.

Procedural History

The Clines filed a petition with the United States Tax Court challenging the Commissioner's determination. The Tax Court reviewed the case and issued its decision on March 7, 1977, holding that the royalty payments were taxable as short-term capital gains.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the royalty payments received by the petitioners under the December 30, 1966 contract are taxable as capital gains under section 631(c) or as ordinary income.

2. Alternatively, whether these payments constitute long-term or short-term capital gains.

Holding

1. No, because the December 30, 1966 contract resulted in the sale or exchange of the petitioners' original royalty interests, and they did not retain an economic

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

interest in the coal leases under section 631(c).

2. No, because the royalty interests were held for less than 6 months before their disposal, making the gains short-term.

Court’s Reasoning

The Tax Court reasoned that the February 1, 1966 contract granted the Clines an economic interest in specific coal leases, entitling them to royalties based on coal mined from those leases. The December 30, 1966 contract, however, exchanged this interest for a broader royalty on all coal handled by Wolf Creek, which did not constitute an economic interest in any specific coal property. The court cited Commissioner v. Southwest Expl. Co. , 350 U. S. 308 (1956), and Palmer v. Bender, 287 U. S. 551 (1933), to support its conclusion that the new contract did not retain an economic interest in coal. As the original royalty interests were held for less than 6 months, the payments were taxable as short-term capital gains. The dissent argued that the royalties should be treated as ordinary income, representing compensation for services.

Practical Implications

This decision affects how royalty interests in natural resources are taxed when exchanged for different forms of payment. Attorneys should advise clients that exchanging specific royalty interests for broader, non-specific royalty arrangements may result in the taxation of payments as capital gains rather than ordinary income. This case underscores the importance of the duration of ownership in determining whether gains are short-term or long-term. Subsequent cases, such as Don C. Day, 54 T. C. 1417 (1970), have applied similar reasoning in analyzing the tax treatment of exchanged royalty interests. Businesses involved in resource extraction should be aware of the tax implications when restructuring royalty agreements.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.