

## ***Blyler v. Commissioner, 67 T. C. 878 (1977)***

Distributions from a qualified pension trust must be received within one taxable year to qualify for capital gains treatment under Section 402(a)(2).

### **Summary**

Lee Blyler, a participant in a terminated pension plan, received a life insurance policy in 1971 and cash in 1972 from the trust. He sought capital gains treatment under Section 402(a)(2), which requires the total distribution to be received within one taxable year. The court ruled against Blyler, finding that the cash distribution in 1972, delayed due to a trustee's refusal to release funds, meant the total distribution was not received within one year. The decision emphasizes the strict requirement of Section 402(a)(2) and the limits of the constructive receipt doctrine in such cases.

### **Facts**

Lee Blyler was an officer and participant in Howe & French, Inc.'s qualified pension plan. The plan was terminated in February 1971 due to declining profits. Blyler was discharged in April 1971. In October 1971, he received a life insurance policy from the trust, which he surrendered for \$5,171. The trust's cash assets were blocked by a trustee, Herbert Snow, from June 1971 to January 1972 due to a dispute over fees. Blyler received the remaining \$18,067 from the trust in February 1972. He claimed capital gains treatment for both distributions.

### **Procedural History**

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined deficiencies in Blyler's income taxes for 1971 and 1972, rejecting his claim for capital gains treatment. Blyler petitioned the U. S. Tax Court, which heard the case and ruled in favor of the Commissioner.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether the distributions received by Blyler from the pension trust in 1971 and 1972 qualify for capital gains treatment under Section 402(a)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code.

### **Holding**

1. No, because the total distributions payable to Blyler were not received within one taxable year as required by Section 402(a)(2).

### **Court's Reasoning**

The court applied Section 402(a)(2), which mandates that the entire distribution from a qualified pension trust must be received within one taxable year to qualify for

capital gains treatment. The court rejected Blyler's argument of constructive receipt in 1971, noting that Snow's refusal to release the funds represented a substantial limitation on Blyler's access to them. The court distinguished this case from *United States v. Hancock Bank*, where funds were unconditionally available, emphasizing that Snow's claim for fees was not frivolous under Massachusetts law. The court also rejected Blyler's alternative argument that the life insurance distribution alone should qualify for capital gains treatment, finding that the statute's requirement for total distributions to be received within one year was not met.

### **Practical Implications**

This decision underscores the importance of receiving the full distribution from a qualified pension trust within one taxable year to secure capital gains treatment. It highlights the strict interpretation of Section 402(a)(2) and the limitations of the constructive receipt doctrine in overcoming delays caused by third parties. Practitioners should advise clients to ensure timely distribution of all trust assets to avoid ordinary income tax treatment. The ruling also suggests that disputes over trust administration, such as trustee fees, can have significant tax consequences, emphasizing the need for clear trust provisions and prompt resolution of such disputes. Later cases, like *Beecher v. United States*, have similarly interpreted Section 402(a)(2), reinforcing its strict application.