

***Estate of James C. Freeman, Deceased, Phil R. Freeman, Administrator v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, 67 T. C. 202 (1976)***

The value of trust assets subject to a general power of appointment must be included in a decedent's gross estate for estate tax purposes, even if the decedent was unaware of the power.

**Summary**

The Estate of Freeman case involved the estate tax implications of a trust created by James C. Freeman's parents, which granted him a general power of appointment. At his death, James was unaware of this power. The court held that the trust's value must be included in his estate under Section 2041(a)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code, emphasizing that the existence of the power, not the decedent's awareness or ability to exercise it, is what matters for estate tax inclusion. The decision underscores the principle that a decedent's lack of knowledge does not exempt trust assets from estate tax when a general power of appointment exists at death.

**Facts**

James C. Freeman's parents established a trust for him in 1952, when he was 10 years old. The trust granted James a general power of appointment, allowing him or his guardian to terminate the trust and receive its assets. In 1958, at age 16, James became a quadriplegic due to a swimming accident. He received periodic income distributions from the trust but was never informed of, nor did he have knowledge of, the power of appointment. At his death in 1970, the trust's value was \$56,291.88, which was not included in his estate tax return.

**Procedural History**

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency in estate tax, asserting that the trust's value should be included in James's gross estate due to the general power of appointment. The estate contested this, arguing that James's lack of knowledge of the power should exempt the trust's value from taxation. The case proceeded to the United States Tax Court, which held for the Commissioner.

**Issue(s)**

1. Whether the value of trust assets over which James C. Freeman held a general power of appointment at the time of his death should be included in his gross estate under Section 2041(a)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code, despite his lack of knowledge of the power?

**Holding**

1. Yes, because Section 2041(a)(2) mandates the inclusion of assets subject to a general power of appointment in the gross estate, regardless of whether the

decedent was aware of or capable of exercising the power.

### **Court's Reasoning**

The court reasoned that the estate tax is imposed on the transfer of property, not on the decedent's ability to direct it. The existence of a general power of appointment at death is the key factor for inclusion in the gross estate under Section 2041(a)(2). The court rejected the estate's arguments that James's lack of knowledge constituted a disability preventing him from exercising the power or that he should have had a reasonable opportunity to disclaim the power. The court distinguished cases involving physical or mental incapacity, noting that James had no legal disability preventing him from learning of the power. The court also emphasized the *in pari materia* construction of the estate and gift tax laws, noting that the power of appointment was intended to qualify the trust as a present interest for gift tax purposes, which supports its inclusion for estate tax purposes.

### **Practical Implications**

This decision clarifies that the estate tax inclusion of assets subject to a general power of appointment is based on the legal existence of the power at death, not the decedent's awareness or ability to exercise it. Estate planners must ensure beneficiaries are informed of such powers to allow for potential disclaimers, as ignorance does not exempt assets from taxation. The ruling impacts estate planning by highlighting the need for clear communication about the terms of trusts and the rights they confer. It also affects how similar cases are analyzed, reinforcing the broad application of Section 2041(a)(2). Subsequent cases have followed this precedent, emphasizing the importance of the existence of a power over its exercise or awareness.