

## ***Sheppard & Myers, Inc. v. Commissioner, 67 T. C. 26 (1976)***

The U. S. Tax Court's jurisdiction to issue declaratory judgments on the continuing qualification of a pension plan is limited to cases involving plan amendments or terminations.

### **Summary**

Sheppard & Myers, Inc. challenged the IRS's revocation of their pension plan's tax-qualified status, asserting the Tax Court's jurisdiction for a declaratory judgment. The plan, initially approved in 1971, was deemed non-compliant in 1972 without any amendments. The Tax Court dismissed the case, ruling it lacked jurisdiction over continuing qualification disputes unless related to amendments or terminations, as clarified by legislative history.

### **Facts**

Sheppard & Myers, Inc. adopted a pension plan in 1970, which received a favorable IRS determination letter in 1971. An audit in 1972 led the IRS to conclude the plan did not meet the requirements of section 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code. The IRS notified the company of this determination in January 1976, prompting Sheppard & Myers to seek a declaratory judgment in the Tax Court in April 1976. The IRS moved to dismiss the case for lack of jurisdiction.

### **Procedural History**

The IRS issued a favorable determination letter for the pension plan in 1971. After an audit in 1972, the IRS revoked the plan's qualified status. In January 1976, the IRS formally notified Sheppard & Myers of the revocation. The company filed a petition for declaratory judgment in the Tax Court in April 1976, leading to the IRS's motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction, which the court granted.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether the U. S. Tax Court has jurisdiction to issue a declaratory judgment on the continuing qualification of a pension plan when the plan has not been amended or terminated since its initial qualification.

### **Holding**

1. No, because the Tax Court's jurisdiction for declaratory judgments on pension plans is limited to cases involving plan amendments or terminations, as specified in the legislative history of section 7476.

### **Court's Reasoning**

The court found the term "continuing qualification" in section 7476(a) ambiguous,

necessitating reference to legislative history. The legislative history, specifically H. Rept. No. 93-807, clarified that the Tax Court's jurisdiction over continuing qualification disputes is limited to cases involving new plans, plan amendments, or plan terminations. Since Sheppard & Myers' case involved neither an amendment nor a termination but rather a revocation of initial qualification, the court concluded it lacked jurisdiction. The court emphasized that without clear statutory language or legislative intent supporting jurisdiction in such cases, it must adhere to the specified limitations.

### **Practical Implications**

This decision clarifies that taxpayers cannot seek Tax Court review of IRS determinations revoking a pension plan's qualified status unless the revocation relates to a plan amendment or termination. It underscores the importance of legislative history in interpreting statutory ambiguities and limits the Tax Court's role in pension plan disputes. Practitioners must advise clients accordingly, potentially seeking alternative remedies like refund suits in district courts when challenging IRS determinations on unchanged plans. This ruling has influenced subsequent cases by reinforcing the jurisdictional boundaries of the Tax Court in pension plan matters.