

Adams v. Commissioner, 66 T. C. 830 (1976)

Alimony payments are not deductible if they are not contingent on the death, remarriage, or change in economic status of the recipient, even if made over a period less than 10 years.

Summary

In *Adams v. Commissioner*, the U. S. Tax Court ruled that alimony payments made by John Q. Adams to his former wife were not deductible under section 215 of the Internal Revenue Code. The court determined that the payments, totaling \$23,800 payable in monthly installments over less than 10 years, did not qualify as periodic payments under section 71(a)(1) because they were not contingent upon the death, remarriage, or change in economic status of the recipient. The decision hinged on Oklahoma law, which did not allow for modification of the divorce decree to include such contingencies once it became final. This case clarifies that for alimony payments to be deductible, they must meet the specific criteria outlined in the tax code and regulations, even if state law might allow for certain contingencies.

Facts

John Q. Adams was divorced from his wife, Hazel Jean Adams, on August 11, 1966, by the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma. The divorce decree mandated that John pay Hazel an alimony judgment of \$23,800, payable at \$200 per month until fully paid. The decree specified that these payments would not terminate upon Hazel's remarriage. The payments were to be made over a period less than 10 years from the date of the decree. John deducted these payments as alimony on his federal income tax returns for the years 1966 through 1969, but the Commissioner of Internal Revenue disallowed these deductions.

Procedural History

John Q. Adams filed a petition with the U. S. Tax Court contesting the disallowance of his alimony deductions. The case was submitted for decision under Rule 122 of the Tax Court Rules of Practice and Procedure. The Tax Court ruled in favor of the Commissioner, holding that the alimony payments were not deductible under section 215 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the alimony payments made by John Q. Adams to his former wife pursuant to the divorce decree of August 11, 1966, are deductible under section 215 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Holding

1. No, because the payments do not qualify as periodic payments under section

71(a)(1) as they are not subject to the contingencies of death, remarriage, or change in economic status of the recipient, as required by the applicable regulations.

Court's Reasoning

The court applied section 71(c)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code, which states that installment payments discharging a specified principal sum are not treated as periodic payments. The court also considered section 1.71-1(d)(3) of the Income Tax Regulations, which provides an exception for payments over a period less than 10 years if they are contingent on specific events. However, the court found that under Oklahoma law, the divorce decree could not be modified to include such contingencies once it became final. The court cited several Oklahoma cases that supported the position that alimony awards are final and not subject to modification based on future events. The court concluded that since the payments were not contingent, they did not meet the criteria for periodic payments under the tax code and regulations, and thus were not deductible under section 215.

Practical Implications

This decision emphasizes the importance of ensuring that alimony payments meet the specific criteria set forth in the Internal Revenue Code and regulations to be deductible. Practitioners must carefully review divorce decrees to ensure they include contingencies such as death, remarriage, or change in economic status if the payments are to be made over a period less than 10 years. This case also highlights the interaction between federal tax law and state law, as the court's decision was influenced by Oklahoma's stance on the modification of divorce decrees. Subsequent cases, such as *Morgan v. Commissioner*, have applied this ruling, further clarifying the requirements for alimony deductibility.