Merrill Lee Meehan, Petitioner v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Respondent, 66 T. C. 794 (1976)

Stipends received for graduate assistantships are taxable income when they are compensation for services, not scholarships, even if they incidentally aid the recipient's education.

Summary

Merrill Lee Meehan, a graduate student at Pennsylvania State University, received stipends for his work as a graduate assistant. The Tax Court ruled that these stipends were taxable income because they were compensation for services rendered to the university, not scholarships. The court also denied Meehan's deduction for home office expenses, as his use of the home office was primarily personal. This decision clarifies that stipends for graduate assistantships are taxable when they are tied to services required by the university, even if those services may also benefit the student's education.

Facts

Merrill Lee Meehan was a candidate for a Doctor of Education degree at Pennsylvania State University. He received stipends for his work as a graduate assistant, which included revising curriculum, teaching undergraduate courses, and advising students. These services were not required for obtaining his degree. The university withheld taxes from these stipends, and Meehan claimed them as scholarships on his tax return, seeking to exclude them from his gross income. Additionally, Meehan claimed a deduction for home office expenses, using a portion of his apartment for both his studies and his assistantship duties.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency in Meehan's income tax, asserting that the stipends were taxable income. Meehan petitioned the Tax Court, which heard the case and ruled that the stipends were compensation for services and thus taxable. The court also disallowed Meehan's home office expense deduction.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the stipends received by Meehan from Pennsylvania State University for his graduate assistantships are excludable from gross income under section 117 of the Internal Revenue Code as scholarships.
2. Whether Meehan is entitled to a deduction for home office expenses under section 162(a) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Holding

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

1. No, because the stipends were compensation for services rendered to the university, not scholarships intended to aid Meehan's education.
2. No, because Meehan's use of his apartment as an office was primarily personal and did not constitute a business use.

Court's Reasoning

The court applied the IRS regulations and case law to determine that the stipends were taxable income. They emphasized that scholarships are intended to aid a student's education without a substantial quid pro quo, whereas Meehan's stipends were directly tied to services required by the university. The court noted that the university's manual distinguished between fellowships (scholarships) and graduate assistantships (compensation for services). Meehan's services were not required for his degree, and the stipends were commensurate with the hours of service expected, further indicating compensation. On the home office deduction, the court found that Meehan's use of his apartment was minimal and primarily for personal study, not business use, thus not deductible under section 162(a). The court quoted the regulation that personal expenses, such as rent and utilities, are not deductible unless the space is used exclusively as a place of business, which was not the case here.

Practical Implications

This decision impacts how graduate students and universities should treat stipends for assistantships. It establishes that such stipends are taxable when they are compensation for services, even if they also provide educational benefits. Universities should clearly distinguish between scholarships and assistantship stipends, and students should be aware that they cannot exclude assistantship stipends from their taxable income. This ruling also affects how students can claim deductions for home office expenses, requiring a clear distinction between personal and business use. Subsequent cases have followed this precedent, reinforcing the distinction between scholarships and compensation for services in the context of graduate assistantships.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.