# Commissioner v. Estate of Goodall, 391 F. 2d 775 (8th Cir. 1968)

The IRS can issue separate notices of deficiency asserting alternative tax liabilities for the same income in different tax years without abandoning the first notice.

#### Summary

In Commissioner v. Estate of Goodall, the 8th Circuit upheld the IRS's practice of issuing separate notices of deficiency to assert alternative tax liabilities for the same income in different years. The case involved notices for 1969 and 1972, both claiming a gain from the sale of Yellow Cab Co. stock. The court rejected the taxpayers' argument that the second notice constituted an abandonment of the first, emphasizing that the IRS clearly intended to tax the income only once, in either year. This decision reinforces the IRS's flexibility in tax assessments and clarifies the procedural rights of taxpayers in responding to such notices.

## Facts

The IRS issued two notices of deficiency to the taxpayers for the sale of Yellow Cab Co. stock. The first notice, dated September 19, 1972, assessed a deficiency for 1969 based on a long-term capital gain from the stock sale, disallowing installment reporting. The second notice, dated July 10, 1975, assessed a deficiency for 1972 based on the same stock sale. The taxpayers filed petitions for redetermination of both deficiencies, which were consolidated. They moved for summary judgment, arguing the second notice abandoned the first.

## **Procedural History**

The taxpayers filed a petition in the Tax Court for the 1969 deficiency (Docket No. 9106-72) and another for the 1972 deficiency (Docket No. 9074-75). Both dockets were consolidated. The taxpayers then moved for summary judgment, asserting that the IRS abandoned the 1969 deficiency by issuing the 1972 notice. The Tax Court denied the motion, and the taxpayers appealed to the 8th Circuit, which affirmed the Tax Court's decision.

#### Issue(s)

1. Whether the IRS abandons a deficiency determination in a first notice of deficiency by issuing a second notice asserting an alternative deficiency for the same income in a different tax year.

## Holding

1. No, because the IRS may assert alternative deficiencies in separate notices without abandoning the first notice, provided it clearly intends to tax the income only once.

### **Court's Reasoning**

The court reasoned that the IRS has the authority to assert alternative claims in tax litigation, even if those claims are in separate notices of deficiency. This practice is supported by Tax Court Rule 31(c), which allows alternative pleadings, and by precedent such as Wiles v. Commissioner and Estate of Goodall v. Commissioner. The court emphasized that the IRS's intent was clear: to tax the income from the stock sale only once, either in 1969 or 1972. The court distinguished cases like Leon Papineau and Thomas Wilson, where the IRS amended its answer post-petition, indicating an abandonment of the original position. Here, the IRS did not abandon its initial position but merely presented an alternative. The court also noted that the method of presenting alternative claims (one notice vs. separate notices) is immaterial to the legality of the practice. The decision underscores the IRS's procedural flexibility while ensuring taxpayers are not subjected to double taxation.

### **Practical Implications**

This ruling allows the IRS greater procedural flexibility in assessing tax liabilities, potentially affecting how taxpayers and their attorneys respond to deficiency notices. Practitioners should be aware that receiving a second notice does not necessarily mean the first is abandoned; they must scrutinize the IRS's intent regarding alternative assessments. This case also emphasizes the importance of clear communication from the IRS about the nature of alternative claims to prevent confusion and ensure taxpayers can adequately defend against the assessments. For legal practice, this decision suggests that attorneys may need to prepare for defending against multiple notices for the same income, focusing on the year of inclusion rather than challenging the notices' validity. Subsequent cases, such as Wiles v. Commissioner, have reinforced this principle, showing its enduring impact on tax litigation strategy.