Estate of Lombard v. Commissioner, 65 T. C. 766 (1976)

An estate with foreign domicile and assets may have 150 days to file a petition for redetermination of a deficiency if the estate's situs is considered outside the United States.

Summary

In Estate of Lombard v. Commissioner, the Tax Court addressed whether an estate, whose decedent was domiciled in Panama and had significant assets there, was entitled to 150 days to file a petition for redetermination of an estate tax deficiency under section 6213(a). The IRS had mailed duplicate notices of deficiency to both the Panamanian executor and the U. S. administrator of the estate. The court determined that the estate's foreign domicile and the majority of its assets being located in Panama qualified it as a "person outside the United States," thus granting it the extended 150-day filing period. This decision hinged on the interpretation of "person" in the statute and the practical realities of the estate's administration, setting a precedent for how estates with foreign connections should be treated regarding filing deadlines.

Facts

Bessie Deming Lombard, a U. S. citizen domiciled in Panama, died on March 12, 1971. Over 75% of her estate's assets were located in Panama. Her will was probated in Panama, and A. Oscar Van der Dijs was appointed executor. The Connecticut Bank & Trust Co. was appointed as the administrator for the U. S. assets. The bank filed the estate's Federal estate tax return and later received a notice of deficiency from the IRS on February 27, 1975. Duplicate notices were mailed to both the bank in Connecticut and Van der Dijs in Panama, though the IRS was unaware that Van der Dijs had died. The bank filed a petition with the Tax Court on July 24, 1975, 147 days after the mailing of the notice.

Procedural History

The IRS moved to dismiss the petition for lack of jurisdiction, arguing it was filed beyond the 90-day period allowed under section 6213(a). The estate contended it was entitled to 150 days because of its foreign connections. The Tax Court considered the motion and ultimately decided in favor of the estate, allowing the 150-day filing period.

Issue(s)

1. Whether an estate, with a decedent domiciled in Panama and the majority of its assets located there, is entitled to 150 days to file a petition for redetermination of a deficiency under section 6213(a) when notices of deficiency were mailed to both a U. S. administrator and a Panamanian executor.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Holding

1. Yes, because the estate's foreign domicile and the location of its assets established it as a "person outside the United States," thereby qualifying it for the 150-day filing period under section 6213(a).

Court's Reasoning

The court's decision was based on the interpretation of section 6213(a), which grants a 150-day filing period if the notice is addressed to a person outside the United States. The court reasoned that "person" in this context included the estate, not just the fiduciaries. The court considered the practical realities of the estate's administration, noting that the decedent was domiciled in Panama, her will was probated there, an executor was appointed in Panama, and most of her assets were in Panama. The court referenced previous cases like Degill Corp. , where the practical location of a corporation's operations determined its status as being outside the U. S. The court concluded that the estate's situs was in Panama, justifying the 150-day filing period. The court also noted that the identity of the fiduciary filing the petition was not the sole determining factor, emphasizing the broader context of the estate's foreign connections.

Practical Implications

This decision has significant implications for estates with foreign domiciles and assets. It establishes that such estates may be entitled to a 150-day filing period for petitions challenging deficiencies, even if notices are sent to domestic administrators. Practitioners must consider the estate's overall situs and not just the location of its fiduciaries when determining filing deadlines. This ruling could affect how estates with international components plan and manage their tax affairs, potentially leading to more careful consideration of where assets are held and how estates are administered. Subsequent cases have built on this precedent, further clarifying the treatment of estates with foreign connections in tax law.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.