Estate of C. A. Diecks, Deceased, Moninda Diecks Coyle, Executrix, Petitioner v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Respondent, 65 T. C. 117 (1975)

A corporation classified as collapsible under IRC Section 341(b) may still yield long-term capital gains to shareholders upon stock sale if the net unrealized appreciation in its subsection (e) assets is less than 15% of its net worth.

Summary

In Estate of Diecks v. Commissioner, the Tax Court addressed whether the sale of stock in Cable Vista, Inc. , a subchapter S corporation, resulted in ordinary income or long-term capital gains for the shareholder, Clifford Diecks. The court found Cable Vista to be a collapsible corporation as defined by IRC Section 341(b) because it was formed for producing property and sold before realizing taxable income. However, the court also determined that the net unrealized appreciation in Cable Vista's subsection (e) assets was zero, thus falling under the exception in IRC Section 341(e)(1). Consequently, Diecks' gain was treated as long-term capital gain. Additionally, the court ruled that Diecks must recapture previously claimed investment credits upon selling his stock.

Facts

In 1963, Cable Vista, Inc. , was formed by five shareholders, including Clifford Diecks, to operate a cable TV system in Elizabethtown, Kentucky. The corporation elected subchapter S status, allowing shareholders to claim investment credits. Cable Vista incurred operating losses from 1963 to 1965. In November 1965, the shareholders agreed to sell their stock to Ameco Co. for $152,500 before Cable Vista had realized any taxable income. Diecks, who owned 20% of the stock, reported his gain from the sale as long-term capital gain. The IRS argued the gain should be treated as ordinary income under the collapsible corporation rules of IRC Section 341.

Procedural History

The IRS determined deficiencies in Diecks' federal income tax for 1965 and 1966, arguing that Cable Vista was a collapsible corporation and that Diecks should have reported his gain as ordinary income. Diecks' estate challenged this determination in the U. S. Tax Court, which held that although Cable Vista was collapsible, the exception in IRC Section 341(e)(1) applied, allowing Diecks' gain to be treated as long-term capital gain. The court also ruled on the recapture of investment credits.

Issue(s)

1. Whether Clifford Diecks should have reported gain on the sale of stock in Cable Vista, Inc. , as ordinary income rather than capital gain under IRC Section 341.
2. Whether Diecks must recapture the investment credit claimed as a shareholder of

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Cable Vista, Inc. , upon the sale of his stock.

Holding

1. No, because although Cable Vista was a collapsible corporation, the net unrealized appreciation in its subsection (e) assets was zero, thus falling under the exception in IRC Section 341(e)(1), allowing the gain to be treated as long-term capital gain.
2. Yes, because Diecks disposed of all his stock in Cable Vista before the end of the estimated useful life of the investment credit property, requiring recapture of the credit under IRC Section 47.

Court's Reasoning

The court first determined that Cable Vista was a collapsible corporation under IRC Section 341(b) because it was formed for producing property and sold before realizing taxable income. However, the court applied the exception in IRC Section 341(e)(1), which states that if the net unrealized appreciation in subsection (e) assets (non-capital assets) is less than 15% of the corporation's net worth, the collapsible corporation rules do not apply. The court found that Cable Vista's only subsection (e) assets were subscription contracts with no unrealized appreciation, thus qualifying for the exception. Regarding the investment credit, the court followed the regulations requiring recapture when a shareholder disposes of all their stock before the end of the investment credit property's useful life, as confirmed by retroactive application of the regulations in Charbonnet v. United States.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that even if a corporation meets the definition of a collapsible corporation under IRC Section 341(b), shareholders may still receive long-term capital gain treatment on stock sales if the net unrealized appreciation in the corporation's subsection (e) assets is negligible. This ruling is significant for tax planning in businesses structured as subchapter S corporations, particularly those involved in ongoing production. It emphasizes the importance of analyzing the nature of corporate assets and their unrealized appreciation when planning stock sales. Additionally, the decision reaffirms the requirement to recapture investment credits upon the sale of stock in a subchapter S corporation, affecting how shareholders account for these credits in their tax planning. Subsequent cases have applied this ruling to similar situations involving collapsible corporations and the recapture of investment credits.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.