

Allied Utilities Corp. v. Commissioner, 33 T. C. 976 (1960)

A corporation with a short taxable year not including December 31, which is a member of a controlled group, is entitled to a reduced surtax exemption based on the number of corporations in the group on the last day of its short taxable year.

Summary

In *Allied Utilities Corp. v. Commissioner*, the Tax Court addressed the issue of surtax exemptions for corporations within a controlled group during short taxable years. Crossett Telephone Co. , a short-lived corporation, was formed and dissolved within a day as part of a larger corporate restructuring. The IRS argued that Crossett was part of a controlled group with Allied Utilities Corp. and another entity, thus reducing its surtax exemption to \$8,334. The court agreed, ruling that for short taxable years, the last day's membership in a controlled group determines the applicable surtax exemption, regardless of the duration of membership within that year.

Facts

Allied Utilities Corp. (petitioner) was a transferee of Crossett Telephone Co. 's assets. Crossett was formed by Public Utilities Corp. of Crossett on May 5, 1965, and immediately transferred Public's telephone assets. On the same day, Allied's subsidiary, petitioner, purchased all of Crossett's stock and initiated its dissolution. The dissolution was completed on May 6, 1965. Crossett filed a tax return for its short taxable year from May 5 to May 6, claiming a \$25,000 surtax exemption. The IRS, however, determined that Crossett was part of a controlled group of three corporations, reducing its surtax exemption to \$8,334.

Procedural History

The IRS issued a notice of deficiency to the petitioner as transferee of Crossett's assets, asserting a reduced surtax exemption. The petitioner challenged this in the Tax Court, arguing that Crossett's short taxable year and brief existence should not subject it to the controlled group's reduced surtax exemption.

Issue(s)

1. Whether Crossett Telephone Co. was a component member of a controlled group for its short taxable year ending May 6, 1965, thus affecting its surtax exemption?

Holding

1. Yes, because Crossett was a member of the controlled group on the last day of its short taxable year, its surtax exemption was correctly reduced to \$8,334 as per the IRS's determination.

Court's Reasoning

The court applied Section 1561(b) of the Internal Revenue Code, which states that for a corporation with a short taxable year not including December 31, the surtax exemption is calculated based on the number of corporations in the controlled group on the last day of its taxable year. The court rejected the petitioner's argument that the day of acquisition should be excluded from the computation of Crossett's taxable year, relying on cases like *Harriet M. Hooper* and *E. T. Weir*, which establish that the day of acquisition is excluded in computing periods of time. However, the court noted that in this case, the day of acquisition was also the day of disposition, thus Crossett was a member of the controlled group for its entire taxable year. The court emphasized that "the last day of Crossett's taxable year, May 5, is substituted for December 31 of its short taxable year for purposes of section 1563(b)(1)(A)," affirming that Crossett was part of the controlled group on that day. The court also considered the legislative intent behind the controlled group rules, which aim to prevent the fragmentation of surtax exemptions among commonly controlled corporations.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that for short taxable years, the membership in a controlled group on the last day of the taxable year determines the surtax exemption, regardless of how brief the membership was. Legal practitioners should carefully consider the timing of corporate transactions, especially in restructuring or dissolution scenarios, to understand the tax implications of controlled group membership. Businesses involved in such transactions must be aware of the potential for reduced surtax exemptions and plan accordingly. Subsequent cases have applied this ruling to similar situations, reinforcing the principle that the last day's membership in a controlled group is critical for tax purposes.