Catawba Industrial Rubber Co. v. Commissioner, 68 T. C. 924 (1977)

A qualified profit-sharing trust under IRC Section 401(a) must exist during the taxable year for which a deduction is claimed.

Summary

Catawba Industrial Rubber Co. sought to deduct a $19,759. 25 contribution to a profit-sharing trust for its fiscal year ending April 30, 1972. The IRS disallowed the deduction, asserting no valid trust existed by that date. The Tax Court held that despite the company's board approving a plan and authorizing a trust, no trust was created by April 30, 1972, as required by IRC Section 401(a). The court also found the contribution could not be deemed paid under IRC Section 404(a)(6) because the liability did not accrue in the taxable year. This case underscores the necessity of a formally established trust to claim deductions for contributions.

Facts

Catawba Industrial Rubber Co. , an accrual basis taxpayer, held a board meeting on April 25, 1972, to discuss establishing a profit-sharing plan. The board approved a plan and authorized the creation of a trust, along with a $100 disbursement. However, the written profit-sharing plan and trust agreement were not finalized and executed until June 14, 1972. Catawba made its first contribution to the trust on July 13, 1972. The IRS issued a deficiency notice, disallowing the deduction for the fiscal year ending April 30, 1972, due to the lack of a qualified trust by that date.

Procedural History

Catawba filed its corporate income tax return claiming a deduction for the contribution to the profit-sharing trust. The IRS issued a notice of deficiency on March 29, 1974, disallowing the deduction. Catawba petitioned the Tax Court, which heard the case and issued its opinion on the matter.

Issue(s)

1. Whether Catawba established a profit-sharing trust qualified under IRC Section 401(a) by April 30, 1972.
2. Whether the contribution made on July 13, 1972, was deductible on Catawba's corporate income tax return for its fiscal year ending April 30, 1972.

Holding

1. No, because the trust was not formally established by April 30, 1972, as required by IRC Section 401(a).
2. No, because the contribution could not be deemed paid under IRC Section 404(a)(6) as the liability did not accrue in the taxable year.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Court’s Reasoning

The court applied IRC Section 401(a), which requires a trust to be in existence during the taxable year for contributions to be deductible. The court found that despite the board’s intent and approval, no trust existed by April 30, 1972, as the trust agreement was not executed until June 14, 1972. The court distinguished prior cases where trusts were created or formalized within the taxable year. For IRC Section 404(a)(6), the court cited the all-events test, ruling that Catawba’s liability did not accrue in the fiscal year 1972, thus disallowing the deduction. The court emphasized the necessity of a formal trust agreement to ensure funds are protected from diversion, aligning with the policy of IRC Section 401(a).

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that for a profit-sharing plan contribution to be deductible, a qualified trust must be in existence during the taxable year. Practitioners should ensure all necessary steps to formalize a trust are completed within the taxable year. Businesses planning to establish such plans must act promptly to avoid disallowance of deductions. The ruling has influenced subsequent cases and IRS guidance on the timing of trust establishment and contributions, emphasizing the importance of precise planning and documentation in employee benefit arrangements.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.