## Richardson v. Commissioner, 64 T. C. 621 (1975)

Deferred compensation placed in a nonexempt trust is taxable to the employee in the year contributed if the employee's rights to the funds are nonforfeitable or not subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture.

### **Summary**

Richardson v. Commissioner addresses the tax implications of deferred compensation placed in a nonexempt trust. The taxpayer, a doctor, had an agreement with his employer to defer part of his compensation into a trust, which he argued should defer his tax liability. The court held that contributions to the trust before August 1, 1969, were nonforfeitable under Section 402(b), and those after were not subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture under Section 83(a), thus taxable in the year contributed. The decision was based on the lack of substantial postretirement services required and the trust's structure allowing for immediate payment upon retirement. This case underscores the importance of genuine contingencies for tax deferral in deferred compensation arrangements.

#### **Facts**

Gale R. Richardson, a pathologist, entered into an employment agreement with St. Joseph's Hospital in 1967, which was later amended in 1969 to include a deferred compensation arrangement. Under this amendment, \$1,000 per month of Richardson's compensation was diverted to a trust managed by the First National Bank of Minot. The trust agreement allowed for the funds to be invested in insurance and mutual fund shares, with provisions for distribution upon Richardson's death, retirement, or separation from service. An amendment in 1970 added a forfeiture clause if Richardson failed to provide post-retirement advice and counsel to the hospital. However, the hospital never required such services from retired physicians, and the trust agreement allowed for the immediate distribution of funds upon retirement.

#### **Procedural History**

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined deficiencies in Richardson's federal income tax for 1969 and 1970, asserting that the trust contributions were taxable in the year they were made. Richardson petitioned the United States Tax Court, which held a trial and ultimately ruled in favor of the Commissioner, finding the trust contributions taxable in the years contributed.

#### Issue(s)

- 1. Whether funds placed in trust by Richardson's employer during 1969 and 1970 were properly taxable to Richardson in those years.
- 2. Whether the Commissioner is estopped from contending that such amounts were taxable in the years of transfer.

# **Holding**

- 1. Yes, because the funds transferred to the trust before August 1, 1969, were nonforfeitable under Section 402(b), and the funds transferred after that date were not subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture under Section 83(a).
- 2. No, because the Commissioner is not estopped from determining the taxability of the trust contributions based on a private letter ruling or correspondence with Richardson's attornev.

## **Court's Reasoning**

The court applied Sections 402(b) and 83(a) of the Internal Revenue Code to determine the taxability of the trust contributions. For contributions before August 1, 1969, the court found them nonforfeitable under Section 402(b) because there was no contingency that could cause Richardson to lose his rights in the contributions. For contributions after that date, the court determined they were not subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture under Section 83(a) because the required post-retirement services were not substantial and the trust's structure allowed for immediate payment upon retirement. The court also noted the lack of a genuine likelihood that Richardson would be required to perform substantial services postretirement. Regarding estoppel, the court found that neither a private letter ruling issued to another taxpayer nor correspondence with Richardson's attorney estopped the Commissioner from determining the taxability of the trust contributions.

# **Practical Implications**

This decision clarifies that for deferred compensation to be effectively tax-deferred, the employee's rights to the funds must be subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture, meaning they are contingent upon the future performance of substantial services. Employers and employees must carefully structure deferred compensation plans to ensure they meet these criteria. This case also highlights that private letter rulings and informal correspondence do not bind the IRS in determining taxability. Subsequent cases have cited Richardson v. Commissioner in addressing similar issues of deferred compensation and the application of Sections 402(b) and 83(a). Practitioners should consider this ruling when advising clients on the tax implications of deferred compensation arrangements.