Aero Rental v. Commissioner, 64 T.C. 331 (1975)

A stock bonus plan can qualify retroactively for tax benefits under Section 401 of the Internal Revenue Code, even if initial plan documents contain disqualifying provisions, provided the employer diligently seeks IRS determination and amends the plan to address objections, especially when amendments occur before any employee is negatively impacted by the initial provisions.

Summary

Aero Rental sought to deduct contributions to its employee stock bonus plan for 1969 and 1970. The IRS disallowed the deductions, arguing the plan failed to qualify under Section 401 due to issues in the original plan documents, including restrictions on stock marketability and vesting. Aero amended the plan to address these concerns and received a favorable determination letter in 1971, but the IRS argued this was too late for 1969 and 1970. The Tax Court held that under the circumstances, the plan qualified for 1969 and 1970, emphasizing that the employer acted diligently in seeking qualification and amended the plan before any employee was negatively affected by the initial provisions. The court prioritized the purpose of encouraging employee benefit plans and avoided penalizing employees due to procedural delays in obtaining IRS approval.

Facts

Aero Rental, a family-owned corporation, established a stock bonus plan for its employees in December 1969. Employees were informed of the plan at meetings in December 1969. Formal plan documents were created, and the board of directors approved the plan on December 24, 1969, with initial contributions made shortly after. Aero applied for IRS determination of the plan's qualified status in June 1970, disclosing communication to employees occurred in January 1970 in the application. The IRS raised objections to certain plan provisions. Aero amended the plan in August 1970 and again in July 1971 to address IRS concerns, receiving a favorable determination letter on July 15, 1971, qualified for taxable years ending after December 31, 1970. No distributions were made under the plan in 1969 or 1970, and no employees were negatively impacted by the initial plan provisions during those years.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined deficiencies in Aero Rental's corporate income taxes for 1968, 1969, and 1970, disallowing deductions for contributions to the stock bonus plan for 1969 and 1970. Aero Rental petitioned the Tax Court. The Commissioner amended his answer to argue the plan was not qualified in form or operation for 1969 and 1970 due to communication issues and problematic plan provisions. The Tax Court considered whether the plan was communicated in 1969 and whether it qualified under Section 401 for 1969 and

Issue(s)

- 1. Whether Aero Rental's stock bonus plan was communicated to its employees during 1969 as required for qualification under Section 401 of the Internal Revenue Code.
- 2. Whether, under the circumstances, Aero Rental's stock bonus plan qualified under Section 401 of the Internal Revenue Code for the years 1969 and 1970, considering the initial plan provisions and subsequent amendments.

Holding

- 1. Yes, because the informal meetings, memorandum, and dinner meeting in December 1969 were sufficient to communicate the essential terms of the plan to Aero Rental's employees in 1969.
- 2. Yes, because despite initial issues with plan provisions, Aero Rental acted diligently to seek IRS determination, amended the plan to address objections, and no employees were negatively impacted by the initial provisions during 1969 and 1970. Retroactive qualification is appropriate in these circumstances to further the purpose of encouraging employee benefit plans.

Court's Reasoning

The court found adequate communication in 1969, noting the informal setting was sufficient for a small company. Regarding qualification, the court emphasized the purpose of Section 401 is to encourage nondiscriminatory employee benefit plans. The court highlighted that Aero acted diligently in seeking IRS approval and amended the plan to resolve issues raised by the IRS. Crucially, the court noted that the objectionable provisions never actually affected any employees as no distributions occurred before the amendments. The court stated, "To deny the plan qualification under these circumstances would frustrate the purposes of section 401, and accordingly, we hold that under such circumstances, the plan did qualify for the years 1969 and 1970." The court also considered the retroactive amendment provision of Section 401(b), as amended by ERISA in 1974, indicating a congressional intent to allow remedial changes to plans to be cured retroactively, especially when employers seek IRS determination.

Practical Implications

Aero Rental establishes a practical approach to employee benefit plan qualification, particularly regarding retroactive amendments. It clarifies that technical imperfections in initial plan documents do not automatically disqualify a plan retroactively if the employer demonstrates diligence in seeking IRS approval and promptly addresses concerns through amendments. This case provides reassurance to employers who establish plans and seek qualification, indicating that good-faith

1970.

efforts to comply with Section 401, coupled with timely corrective actions, can result in retroactive qualification, especially when no employees are harmed by the initial plan defects. It emphasizes substance over form and prioritizes the congressional intent of encouraging employee benefit plans. Later cases may cite *Aero Rental* to support retroactive qualification when employers act in good faith and rectify plan defects promptly upon IRS feedback.