Pulsifer v. Commissioner, 64 T. C. 245 (1975)

Prize winnings held in trust for minors are taxable in the year they are irrevocably set aside for the beneficiaries' sole benefit, under the economic benefit doctrine.

Summary

In Pulsifer v. Commissioner, the Tax Court ruled that winnings from the Irish Hospital Sweepstakes, which were held in trust for the minor petitioners, were taxable income in 1969, the year the funds were irrevocably set aside. The court applied the economic benefit doctrine, finding that the petitioners had an absolute right to the funds, which were placed in a trust beyond the reach of the payor's creditors. This case clarifies that income is taxable when it provides an economic benefit, even if the beneficiary cannot immediately access the funds.

Facts

In 1969, Stephen, Susan, and Thomas Pulsifer, minors, won a portion of a prize in the Irish Hospital Sweepstakes. The winnings were deposited with the Irish court, to be held at interest until the petitioners reached 21 or their legal guardian applied for release. The funds were irrevocably set aside for the petitioners' sole benefit, and their father, Gordon Pulsifer, applied for the release of these funds.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined deficiencies in the petitioners' 1969 tax returns, asserting that the prize money should be included in their income for that year. The petitioners filed a petition with the United States Tax Court to contest these deficiencies. The Tax Court consolidated the cases of the three petitioners and issued a decision in favor of the respondent.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the petitioners must include the Irish Hospital Sweepstakes winnings in their gross income for 1969, despite the funds being held in trust by the Irish court until the petitioners reached the age of 21 or their legal guardian applied for release.

Holding

1. Yes, because under the economic benefit doctrine, the petitioners had an absolute right to the funds which were irrevocably set aside for their sole benefit in 1969.

Court's Reasoning

The court applied the economic benefit doctrine, established in E. T. Sproull, which states that an individual is taxable on income when it is irrevocably set aside for

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

their benefit in a trust beyond the reach of the payor's creditors. The court found that the petitioners had an absolute, nonforfeitable right to their winnings, which were placed in a trust for their sole benefit. The court rejected the petitioners' argument that the funds were not taxable until they could be accessed, citing the precedent that even nonassignable rights to funds can be taxable under the economic benefit doctrine. The court also noted that the petitioners' father had applied for the release of the funds, further demonstrating the petitioners' control over the funds.

Practical Implications

This decision has significant implications for the taxation of income held in trust for minors. It clarifies that such income is taxable in the year it is irrevocably set aside, even if the beneficiary cannot immediately access it. This ruling affects how similar cases involving prize winnings or other income held in trust should be analyzed, emphasizing the importance of the economic benefit doctrine in determining the tax year for such income. Legal practitioners must advise clients to report such income in the year it becomes irrevocably set aside, rather than when it is actually received. This case also has broader implications for trusts and estates planning, as it underscores the need to consider the tax implications of income held in trust for beneficiaries.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.