Hedrick v. Commissioner, 63 T. C. 395 (1974)

Income in respect of a decedent from an installment sale must be reported by the beneficiary in the same manner as the decedent would have reported it.

Summary

Ray Bert Hedrick inherited an installment sales contract from his deceased wife, Walburga Hedrick, and received payments under it. The IRS determined that these payments constituted income in respect of a decedent under IRC § 691, requiring Hedrick to report them as Walburga would have. The Tax Court upheld this, affirming that the income's character remains the same in the beneficiary's hands, including the allocation of payments between interest and principal at a 7% rate previously established for Walburga. Additionally, a Valuation Agreement signed by Hedrick as executor did not estop the IRS from using Walburga's basis for computing Hedrick's gain. The court also held Hedrick's wife jointly and severally liable for the taxes due on these payments.

Facts

In 1929, Walburga Oesterreich entered into a long-term lease of real property that was later deemed a sale. After her death in 1961, her husband, Ray Bert Hedrick, inherited the rights to the installment payments from the sale. Hedrick reported these payments on his joint tax returns with his new wife, Mary H. Hedrick, without allocating any portion to interest. The IRS issued a deficiency notice, arguing that the payments were income in respect of a decedent and should be reported in the same manner as Walburga would have, including a 7% interest allocation determined in prior litigation involving Walburga's estate.

Procedural History

The IRS determined deficiencies in Hedrick's income tax for 1966-1968, asserting that payments from the installment sale were income in respect of a decedent. Hedrick contested this in the U. S. Tax Court, which upheld the IRS's position that the payments must be reported as Walburga would have, including the interest component at 7%. The court also found that a Valuation Agreement signed by Hedrick did not preclude the IRS from using Walburga's basis for tax calculations.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the payments received by Hedrick from the installment sales contract constituted income in respect of a decedent under IRC § 691, requiring him to report them as Walburga would have.
2. Whether Hedrick could use a lower interest rate than the 7% previously determined for Walburga's payments.
3. Whether a Valuation Agreement signed by Hedrick estopped the IRS from using Walburga's basis for computing Hedrick's gain.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

4. Whether Mary H. Hedrick, Hedrick's wife, was jointly and severally liable for the taxes due.

Holding

1. Yes, because IRC § 691 requires that income in respect of a decedent be reported by the beneficiary in the same manner as the decedent would have.
2. No, because the interest rate of 7% was finally settled in prior litigation involving Walburga's estate, and Hedrick, as her successor, was bound by this determination under IRC § 691(a)(3).
3. No, because the Valuation Agreement did not constitute a statutory closing agreement under IRC § 7121, and thus did not prevent the IRS from using Walburga's basis for tax calculations.
4. Yes, because under IRC § 6013(d), Mary H. Hedrick was jointly and severally liable for the taxes due on the joint returns they filed.

Court's Reasoning

The Tax Court's decision was grounded in the application of IRC § 691, which requires that income in respect of a decedent be reported by the beneficiary in the same manner as the decedent would have. The court applied this rule to Hedrick's situation, finding that he must report the payments from the installment sale as Walburga would have, including the allocation of a portion of each payment to interest at a 7% rate, as determined in prior litigation involving Walburga's estate. The court rejected Hedrick's argument for a lower interest rate, citing the finality of the prior decision. Regarding the Valuation Agreement, the court found it was not a statutory closing agreement under IRC § 7121, thus not binding the IRS to use the agreed-upon basis for Hedrick's tax calculations. The court also upheld Mary H. Hedrick's joint and several liability under IRC § 6013(d), as she had signed the joint returns. The decision reflects the policy of ensuring continuity in tax treatment for income that a decedent was entitled to receive but did not before death.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that beneficiaries of installment sales contracts must report income in the same manner as the decedent would have, including any interest component previously determined. It reinforces the application of IRC § 691 and the importance of prior judicial determinations in tax matters. For legal practitioners, this case underscores the need to thoroughly review the tax treatment of assets inherited under installment sales and to be cautious about the enforceability of agreements with the IRS that are not statutory closing agreements. For taxpayers, it highlights the potential for joint and several liability when filing joint returns. Subsequent cases have followed this precedent, ensuring consistent application of IRC § 691 in similar situations.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.