# North American Life & Casualty Co. v. Commissioner, 63 T. C. 364 (1974)

Life insurance companies may deduct accrued commissions on deferred premiums when calculating gain from operations, despite not having a legal right to collect such premiums.

### Summary

North American Life & Casualty Co. sought to deduct accrued commissions on deferred premiums in determining its taxable gain from operations for 1961 and 1963. The company argued that, since deferred premiums were included in income, related commissions should be deductible. The IRS challenged this, asserting the commissions did not meet the accrual test under Section 461. The Tax Court sided with the company, allowing the deductions based on the need for income consistency. This ruling emphasized that if income from deferred premiums is accrued, the associated expenses, like commissions, should also be deductible, despite the legal uncertainties surrounding premium collection.

### Facts

North American Life & Casualty Co., a life insurance company, included deferred premiums in its gross income for tax years 1961 and 1963. These premiums represented amounts due after December 31 but before the next policy anniversary. The company sought to deduct commissions payable on these deferred premiums in determining its gain from operations under Section 809 of the Internal Revenue Code. The IRS disallowed these deductions, arguing that the commissions did not accrue under the all events test of Section 461.

## **Procedural History**

The IRS audited North American's tax returns for the years in question and disallowed the deductions for accrued commissions on deferred premiums. North American contested this disallowance before the U. S. Tax Court, which heard the case and ruled in favor of the company, allowing the deductions.

#### Issue(s)

1. Whether North American Life & Casualty Co. is entitled to deduct accrued commissions on deferred premiums in determining its gain from operations under Section 809 of the Internal Revenue Code.

2. Alternatively, whether the company can deduct the loading portion of deferred premiums or exclude it from income.

## Holding

1. Yes, because the court recognized the need for consistency in income recognition and deduction of related expenses, allowing the deduction of commissions on deferred premiums.

2. The court did not reach these alternative issues, having decided in favor of the company on the primary issue.

## **Court's Reasoning**

The court reasoned that since deferred premiums are required to be included in income under Section 809(c)(1), despite no legal right to collect them, the related commissions should be deductible. The decision was influenced by the need to avoid undue distortion of income, as articulated in Great Commonwealth Life Insurance Co. v. United States. The court rejected the IRS's argument that the all events test of Section 461 should apply, emphasizing the unique tax treatment of life insurance companies and the necessity of matching income with related expenses. The court also found that the amount of commissions was determined with reasonable accuracy, noting that about 90% of the claimed commissions were paid in the year following accrual.

## **Practical Implications**

This ruling has significant implications for the taxation of life insurance companies, allowing them to deduct commissions on deferred premiums in the year the premiums are included in income. It underscores the importance of consistency in tax accounting for insurance companies, potentially affecting how similar cases are analyzed and resolved. The decision may influence insurance companies to adjust their accounting practices to better align income recognition with expense deductions. Subsequent cases, such as those in the Fifth Circuit, have followed this precedent, solidifying the principle that related expenses should be deductible when associated income is accrued.