

***Sandor v. Commissioner*, 62 T. C. 469, 1974 U. S. Tax Ct. LEXIS 81, 62 T. C. No. 52 (1974)**

The Commissioner can disallow a cash basis taxpayer's deduction of prepaid interest if it materially distorts income, requiring allocation over the period the interest accrues.

Summary

Andrew Sandor, a cash basis taxpayer, prepaid \$38,041. 61 in interest for a five-year loan used to buy mutual fund shares. He claimed the entire amount as a deduction on his 1968 tax return. The IRS disallowed this under IRC §446, arguing it distorted Sandor's 1968 income. The Tax Court upheld the IRS's decision, ruling that allowing such a deduction would distort income. The court supported the IRS's authority under Revenue Ruling 68-643 to require allocation of prepaid interest over the loan term, emphasizing that the transaction's sole purpose was to gain a tax advantage.

Facts

Andrew Sandor, a doctor and active stock market investor, borrowed \$100,000 from Manufacturers Bank on December 31, 1968, to invest in mutual funds. The loan required prepayment of five years' interest, totaling \$38,041. 61, which Sandor paid on December 27, 1968. He claimed this as a deduction on his 1968 tax return. The IRS disallowed the deduction, asserting it materially distorted his income for that year.

Procedural History

Sandor filed a petition with the U. S. Tax Court challenging the IRS's disallowance of his prepaid interest deduction. The Tax Court, after reviewing the case, upheld the IRS's decision, ruling that the deduction distorted Sandor's income for 1968.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the Commissioner abused his discretion under IRC §446(b) by disallowing Sandor's deduction of five years' prepaid interest in 1968.
2. Whether Revenue Ruling 68-643, which disallows deductions for prepaid interest extending beyond 12 months, was a proper exercise of the Commissioner's rule-making authority.

Holding

1. No, because allowing the deduction would materially distort Sandor's income for 1968, justifying the Commissioner's exercise of authority under IRC §446(b).
2. Yes, because Revenue Ruling 68-643 was a proper exercise of the Commissioner's authority to ensure income is clearly reflected, supported by legislative history and consistent with the treatment of similar prepayments.

Court's Reasoning

The court reasoned that IRC §163 allows deductions for interest paid within the taxable year, but this must be read in conjunction with IRC §446, which authorizes the Commissioner to change a taxpayer's accounting method if it does not clearly reflect income. The court found that Sandor's prepayment of interest for five years, solely to gain a tax advantage, would distort his 1968 income. The court supported Revenue Ruling 68-643, which requires allocation of prepaid interest over the loan term, as a valid exercise of the Commissioner's authority under IRC §446(b). The ruling was seen as consistent with the legislative intent to prevent income distortion and was supported by the treatment of similar prepayments like prepaid rent. The court also noted that the transaction did not constitute a binding obligation before the ruling's effective date, making the ruling applicable to Sandor's case.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that the IRS can disallow deductions for prepaid interest by cash basis taxpayers if it distorts income, requiring allocation over the loan term. Legal practitioners must advise clients to carefully consider the timing of interest payments and the potential tax implications. The ruling impacts tax planning, particularly for high-income taxpayers seeking to use prepaid interest as a tax shelter. Businesses and individuals should align their interest prepayments with the tax year in which the interest accrues to avoid disallowance. Subsequent cases have followed this precedent, reinforcing the principle that deductions must clearly reflect income.