Metropolitan Mortgage Fund, Inc. v. Commissioner, 62 T. C. 110 (1974)

Loan origination fees charged by a mortgage banker to borrowers are taxable as income at the time of loan consummation, not as interest or discount to be amortized over the life of the loan.

Summary

Metropolitan Mortgage Fund, Inc. , an accrual basis taxpayer, originated residential mortgage loans and charged borrowers a 1% fee, which it claimed was a 'point' or interest. The Tax Court, however, ruled that this fee was a loan origination fee taxable as income when the loan was consummated. The court emphasized that the fee was compensation for services rendered, not interest, and should be included in income at the time of the loan closing. This decision reaffirmed prior case law and clarified the tax treatment of such fees for mortgage bankers.

Facts

Metropolitan Mortgage Fund, Inc. (Metropolitan) was a mortgage banker that originated residential mortgage loans for single-family dwellings. It charged borrowers a 1% fee, which it labeled as a 'point' or interest, while charging sellers additional 'points' to adjust the interest rate on the loan. Metropolitan did not report the 1% fee as income until it sold the mortgage, claiming it was interest to be amortized over the life of the loan. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined that these fees were taxable income at the time of loan consummation.

Procedural History

The Commissioner issued a statutory notice of deficiency, asserting that Metropolitan had underreported its income by failing to accrue the 1% fees. Metropolitan petitioned the Tax Court for a redetermination of the deficiency. The Tax Court upheld the Commissioner's determination, affirming that the fees were taxable income at the time of loan closing.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the 1% fee charged by Metropolitan to borrowers constitutes taxable income at the time of loan consummation or should be treated as interest or discount to be amortized over the life of the loan.

Holding

1. Yes, because the 1% fee is compensation for services rendered in originating the loan and is taxable income to Metropolitan at the time the loan is consummated.

Court's Reasoning

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

The Tax Court reasoned that the 1% fee was not interest because it was charged regardless of the money market and was not contingent on the passage of time. Instead, it was a fee for services rendered in originating the loan, which Metropolitan earned at the time of loan closing. The court relied on prior cases such as *Columbia State Savings Bank*, *North-Western Trust & Savings Bank*, and *The Bonded Mortgage Co. of Baltimore*, which established that such fees are taxable income when the loan is consummated. The court rejected Metropolitan's argument that the fee should be treated as a 'point' or interest, emphasizing that the fee was not dependent on the interest rate or the sale of the mortgage. The court also noted that the fee was a fixed obligation of the borrower at the time of loan closing, further supporting its classification as income.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that mortgage bankers must recognize loan origination fees as taxable income at the time of loan closing, rather than deferring recognition until the mortgage is sold. It impacts how mortgage bankers account for such fees on their tax returns and may affect their cash flow and tax planning strategies. The ruling also distinguishes between fees charged to borrowers and 'points' charged to sellers, which may be treated as interest or discounts. Subsequent cases have followed this precedent, and it remains relevant for mortgage bankers and tax professionals advising clients in the mortgage industry.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.