Carter v. Commissioner, 62 T. C. 20 (1974)

In divorce cases, the noncustodial parent can claim dependency exemptions if they provide over $1,200 in support and the custodial parent does not clearly establish providing more support.

Summary

Following his divorce, F. M. Carter was awarded legal title to the family home while his ex-wife, Novella, received custody of their children and the right to use the home until the children reached majority. The issue before the U. S. Tax Court was whether Carter, as the noncustodial parent, could claim the children as dependents for tax purposes. The court held that Carter was entitled to the exemptions because the home's use was for the children's benefit, and Carter's contributions, including mortgage payments and direct support, exceeded $1,200 per year, while Novella did not prove she provided more support.

Facts

F. M. Carter and Novella Carter divorced in 1967 in Oklahoma. The divorce decree awarded Carter legal title to their jointly acquired home, and Novella was granted custody of their two children and the right to live in the home rent-free until the children reached majority, provided she remained single and lived alone with the children. Carter paid the mortgage on the home and made child support payments of $70 per month. He claimed the children as dependents on his tax returns for 1968 and 1969, but the IRS disallowed the exemptions, asserting Novella provided more support.

Procedural History

The IRS issued a notice of deficiency to Carter for the taxable years 1968 and 1969, disallowing his dependency exemptions. Carter filed a petition with the U. S. Tax Court to challenge this determination.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the noncustodial parent, Carter, is entitled to claim dependency exemptions for his two minor children under Section 152(e)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Holding

1. Yes, because Carter furnished over $1,200 of support for the children each year, and the custodial parent, Novella, did not clearly establish that she provided more support.

Court's Reasoning

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

The court’s decision hinged on the interpretation of Oklahoma divorce law and the Internal Revenue Code’s support test. The court determined that the provision allowing Novella to live in the home was for the benefit of the children, not a division of property. This interpretation was supported by Oklahoma law, which requires a complete severance of common title in divorce property divisions. The court calculated the fair rental value of the home as support provided by Carter, as he continued to make mortgage payments. The court also considered Carter’s direct support payments and other expenditures, totaling over $1,200 annually. Novella’s total expenditures for the children, excluding child support, did not exceed Carter’s contributions. The court concluded that Carter met the requirements of Section 152(e)(2) and was entitled to the dependency exemptions.

Practical Implications

This case establishes that in determining dependency exemptions in divorce situations, the value of lodging provided by the noncustodial parent through mortgage payments can be considered support, particularly if the divorce decree indicates it is for the children’s benefit. Legal practitioners should carefully analyze divorce decrees to determine the intended beneficiaries of property use rights. This decision affects how noncustodial parents may claim exemptions and emphasizes the importance of documenting all forms of support provided. Subsequent cases have referenced Carter v. Commissioner in similar contexts, reinforcing its application in tax law related to divorce and dependency exemptions.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.