Jones v. Commissioner, 62 T. C. 1 (1974)

The U. S. Tax Court lacks jurisdiction over a case where no statutory notice of deficiency has been issued to the taxpayer.

Summary

The case involved William Jones, whose taxable period was terminated by the IRS under section 6851, resulting in an immediate tax assessment. Jones sought relief from the U. S. Tax Court, but the Commissioner moved to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction due to the absence of a statutory notice of deficiency. The Tax Court, led by Judge Dawson, dismissed the case, affirming that without a notice of deficiency, it lacked jurisdiction to hear the case, despite varying judicial opinions on whether such a notice should be required in termination cases.

Facts

On March 29, 1973, the district director of internal revenue terminated William Jones's taxable period under section 6851 and assessed income taxes of $3,597. 50. Jones filed a petition with the U. S. Tax Court seeking a redetermination of the tax assessed. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue moved to dismiss the case, arguing that the court lacked jurisdiction because no statutory notice of deficiency had been sent to Jones.

Procedural History

Jones filed his petition with the U. S. Tax Court on November 9, 1973. The Commissioner filed a motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction on December 21, 1973. The court heard arguments and ultimately granted the Commissioner's motion, dismissing the case due to the absence of a statutory notice of deficiency.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the U. S. Tax Court has jurisdiction over a case involving termination of a taxable period under section 6851 without a statutory notice of deficiency having been issued to the taxpayer.

Holding

1. No, because the court's jurisdiction is contingent upon the issuance of a statutory notice of deficiency, which was not sent to the petitioner in this case.

Court's Reasoning

The court's decision was based on section 7442 of the Internal Revenue Code, which outlines the jurisdiction of the Tax Court. The court emphasized that its jurisdiction is predicated on the taxpayer receiving a statutory notice of deficiency, often

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

referred to as a “ticket to the Tax Court. ” The court reviewed previous cases like Ludwig Littauer & Co. , Puritan Church-The Church of America, and others, which consistently held that a notice of termination under section 6851 does not constitute a notice of deficiency. Despite varying judicial opinions on whether a notice of deficiency should be required in such cases, the court concluded it lacked jurisdiction without one. The court acknowledged the conflict among different circuits but adhered strictly to the statutory requirement for its jurisdiction.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that taxpayers cannot seek relief from the U. S. Tax Court for tax assessments resulting from terminated taxable periods under section 6851 without receiving a statutory notice of deficiency. It underscores the importance of such notices for Tax Court jurisdiction, affecting how taxpayers and their attorneys approach disputes over terminated taxable periods. The ruling may prompt taxpayers to seek other legal avenues, such as district courts, to challenge assessments when no notice of deficiency is issued. It also highlights the need for legislative or judicial clarification on the use of section 6851 and the rights of taxpayers in such situations.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.