Wirth v. Commissioner, 61 T. C. 855 (1974)

A taxpayer's 'home' for purposes of travel expense deductions under section 162(a)(2) is where they maintain a permanent place of abode, not merely their country of origin.

Summary

Andrzej T. Wirth, a Polish citizen, claimed deductions for travel expenses incurred in the U. S. in 1968, asserting his 'home' was still in Warsaw. The U. S. Tax Court denied these deductions, ruling that Wirth's permanent abode had shifted to the U. S. due to severed employment ties in Poland, marital dissolution, and political persecution. The decision clarified that 'home' for tax purposes is where a taxpayer maintains a permanent place of abode, not necessarily their country of origin.

Facts

Andrzej T. Wirth, a Polish citizen, left Warsaw in April 1966 to attend a conference at Princeton University. He entered the U. S. on a nonimmigrant visa valid for two years. After the conference, Wirth accepted teaching positions at various U. S. universities. In 1967, he met his wife in Yugoslavia, where he learned of their political and personal differences, leading to their eventual divorce. Wirth's Polish passport was not returned after submission for endorsement in late 1967 or early 1968, and he was ordered to return to Poland, which he refused. Despite his visa expiring in 1968, he remained in the U. S. , later becoming a resident alien. Wirth claimed deductions for living expenses in 1968, asserting Warsaw as his 'home'.

Procedural History

Wirth filed a timely nonresident alien Federal income tax return for 1968 and claimed a deduction for living expenses. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency and disallowed the deduction. Wirth petitioned the U. S. Tax Court, which heard the case and issued a decision denying the deduction.

Issue(s)

1. Whether Wirth's living expenses in 1968 were deductible as 'traveling expenses while away from home' under section 162(a)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Holding

1. No, because Wirth's 'home' for tax purposes was no longer in Warsaw but in the U. S. , where he had established a temporary residence without a permanent abode in Poland.

Court's Reasoning

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

The court determined that Wirth's 'home' for tax purposes was not Warsaw in 1968. It applied the principle that a taxpayer's 'home' is where they maintain a permanent place of abode, not merely their country of origin. The court noted Wirth's severed employment ties in Poland, his increasing professional engagement in the U. S. , and the deterioration of his marital relationship, which ended in divorce. The court also considered the political climate in Poland, which made returning difficult. Wirth's refusal to comply with the Polish government's order to return further indicated that he had abandoned his prior life in Poland. The court concluded that Wirth's situation was akin to that of an itinerant with no permanent home, making his living expenses in the U. S. nondeductible personal expenses.

Practical Implications

This decision impacts how taxpayers, especially those with international ties, should analyze their eligibility for travel expense deductions. It underscores that a taxpayer's 'home' for tax purposes is determined by where they maintain a permanent place of abode, not their country of origin. Legal practitioners must consider a client's employment, family, and political ties when determining 'home' for tax purposes. This ruling may affect nonresident aliens and expatriates in similar situations, emphasizing the need to establish a permanent abode in their current location to claim such deductions. Subsequent cases have applied this principle to determine 'home' for tax purposes, distinguishing between temporary residences and permanent abodes.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.