Estate of George W. Jayne, Deceased, Marion P. Jayne, Executrix, and Marion P. Jayne, Surviving Spouse, Petitioners v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Respondent, 61 T. C. 744 (1974)

A surviving spouse's acquisition of property in their individual capacity, using funds acquired by operation of law upon the decedent's death, does not qualify as replacement property under Section 1033 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Summary

George W. Jayne sold his riding stable under threat of condemnation and elected to defer gain under Section 1033. After his death, his wife, Marion P. Jayne, used funds from jointly held certificates of deposit to purchase a tennis club and invest in a trust. The Tax Court held that these acquisitions did not qualify as replacement property under Section 1033 because Marion was acting in her individual capacity, not on behalf of the estate. This case clarifies that only property acquired by the decedent or a representative of the estate using estate funds can qualify for nonrecognition of gain under Section 1033.

Facts

In 1966, George W. Jayne sold his riding stable, Tri-Color Farm, under threat of condemnation and elected to defer the gain under Section 1033. He purchased certificates of deposit and unimproved real property, intending to build a replacement stable, but encountered zoning issues. Before his death in 1970, Jayne transferred some certificates of deposit into joint tenancy with his wife, Marion P. Jayne. After Jayne's death, Marion, as executrix and surviving spouse, used the funds from these certificates to purchase a commercial tennis club and invest in a trust. She could not use the originally intended property for the tennis club due to zoning restrictions.

Procedural History

The Commissioner determined a deficiency in the 1966 income tax return of George W. Jayne, asserting that the nonrecognition provisions of Section 1033 did not apply because replacement property was not acquired before Jayne's death. Marion P. Jayne, as executrix and surviving spouse, petitioned the Tax Court for a determination. The court ultimately held that the acquisitions by Marion did not qualify as replacement property under Section 1033.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the acquisition of property by a surviving spouse in her individual capacity qualifies as a replacement of property for purposes of deferring gain under Section 1033 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Holding

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

1. No, because Marion P. Jayne acquired the properties using funds that became hers by operation of law upon her husband's death, and she acted in her individual capacity rather than as a representative of the estate.

Court's Reasoning

The court reasoned that Section 1033 allows nonrecognition of gain if replacement property is acquired by the taxpayer or someone acting on their behalf. Previous cases established that an executor or testamentary trustee can act on behalf of the deceased taxpayer. However, in this case, Marion used funds she received as a joint tenant, not as executrix, and acquired the properties in her own name. The court emphasized that these actions were on her own behalf, not on behalf of the estate, and thus did not qualify for Section 1033 treatment. The court distinguished this case from others where the replacement was made with estate funds or pursuant to the decedent's detailed plans. The court also noted that the acquisitions were not subject to the terms of the decedent's will, further indicating that Marion was acting individually.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that for Section 1033 to apply, replacement property must be acquired by the decedent or someone acting in a representative capacity using estate funds. Practitioners should advise clients to ensure that any replacement property is acquired within the estate or by a representative acting under the decedent's will. The ruling has implications for estate planning, as it emphasizes the importance of clear directives in wills regarding the use of proceeds from condemned property. Subsequent cases have cited this decision when addressing similar issues, reinforcing the principle that a surviving spouse's individual actions do not qualify for Section 1033 nonrecognition. This case also highlights the need to consider the legal nature of property ownership, such as joint tenancy, when planning for involuntary conversions.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.