Canaveral International Corp. v. Commissioner, 61 T. C. 520, 1974 U. S. Tax Ct. LEXIS 160, 61 T. C. No. 58 (1974)

The principal purpose for acquiring control of a corporation must be scrutinized to determine if tax evasion or avoidance is the primary motive, and intercompany debts must be substantiated to be deductible as worthless.

Summary

Canaveral International Corp. acquired Norango, Inc. , which owned a yacht, by exchanging its stock. The yacht was later sold at a loss, and Canaveral claimed this loss and depreciation deductions based on Norango's high basis in the yacht. The IRS disallowed these deductions under Section 269, arguing that the acquisition's principal purpose was tax avoidance. The Tax Court upheld the IRS's decision, finding that Canaveral's primary motive was to utilize Norango's high basis for tax benefits. Additionally, Canaveral claimed bad debt deductions for intercompany debts owed by its subsidiary Bimini Run, Ltd. , which were denied due to lack of proof of worthlessness and manipulation of assets. The court allowed partial deductions for advertising expenses related to another subsidiary's use of Bimini Run's services.

Facts

Canaveral International Corp. (Canaveral) negotiated to acquire a yacht from the estate of Norman B. Woolworth. Upon discovering the yacht was owned by Norango, Inc. , and had a high undepreciated basis, Canaveral acquired all of Norango's stock in exchange for its nonvoting preferred stock. Norango, renamed Sea Research, Inc. , improved the yacht but could not charter it successfully, eventually selling it at a loss. Canaveral claimed depreciation and a Section 1231 loss based on Norango's basis. Additionally, Canaveral's subsidiaries, Canaveral Groves, Inc. and Able Engineering Co. , Inc. , loaned money to another subsidiary, Bimini Run, Ltd. , and claimed these as bad debts when Bimini Run could not pay. Canaveral also claimed deductions for advertising expenses related to Bimini Run's services.

Procedural History

The IRS issued a deficiency notice disallowing the claimed deductions. Canaveral filed a petition with the U. S. Tax Court, which heard the case and issued an opinion on January 29, 1974, upholding the IRS's determinations and partially allowing deductions for advertising expenses.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the principal purpose of Canaveral's acquisition of Norango's stock was the evasion or avoidance of Federal income tax under Section 269.
2. Whether the adjusted basis for depreciation and gain or loss on the yacht should be computed using Norango's basis or the value of Canaveral's stock exchanged for

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Norango’s stock.
3. Whether the intercompany debts owed by Bimini Run to Canaveral Groves and Able Engineering became worthless in the taxable year ended September 30, 1966, allowing for a bad debt deduction under Section 166(a).
4. Whether Canaveral Groves incurred deductible business expenses for space and transportation services provided by Bimini Run in the taxable years ended September 30, 1963, and 1964.

Holding

1. Yes, because the court found that Canaveral’s principal purpose in acquiring Norango’s stock was to secure tax benefits from Norango’s high basis in the yacht.
2. No, because the court upheld the IRS’s adjustment of the yacht’s basis to the value of Canaveral’s stock ($177,500) exchanged for Norango’s stock, denying the use of Norango’s higher basis.
3. No, because Canaveral failed to show that the debts became worthless in the taxable year and had manipulated Bimini Run’s assets, which could have been applied to the debts.
4. Yes, because the court allowed partial deductions for advertising expenses incurred by Canaveral Groves for Bimini Run’s services, though not to the full extent claimed due to lack of substantiation.

Court’s Reasoning

The court applied Section 269 to disallow deductions where the principal purpose of acquiring a corporation’s stock is tax avoidance. It found that Canaveral’s acquisition of Norango was primarily motivated by the desire to use Norango’s high basis in the yacht for tax benefits, evidenced by the disproportionate value between the stock exchanged and the yacht’s basis. The court rejected Canaveral’s argument that the loss occurred post-acquisition, clarifying that Section 269 applies to built-in losses. For the intercompany debts, the court required proof of worthlessness and found that Canaveral failed to provide such evidence, also noting the manipulation of Bimini Run’s assets. On the advertising expenses, the court applied the Cohan rule to allow partial deductions due to lack of substantiation but credible testimony of some expense being incurred.

Practical Implications

This decision reinforces the IRS’s authority to scrutinize corporate acquisitions for tax avoidance motives, particularly when a high basis in assets is involved. It highlights the importance of documenting the business purpose behind such transactions to avoid the application of Section 269. For intercompany debts, the case underscores the need for clear evidence of worthlessness and warns against manipulating assets to claim deductions. The partial allowance of advertising expenses under the Cohan rule emphasizes the necessity of substantiation while acknowledging that some deduction may still be possible with credible testimony.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Subsequent cases may refer to this decision when addressing similar issues of tax avoidance through corporate acquisitions and the deductibility of intercompany debts.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.