

***Mazzei v. Commissioner*, 61 T. C. 497, 1974 U. S. Tax Ct. LEXIS 167, 61 T. C. No. 55 (1974)**

A taxpayer cannot claim a theft loss deduction for losses incurred in a criminal conspiracy, as it violates public policy against such activities.

Summary

Raymond Mazzei attempted to deduct a \$20,000 loss from a fraudulent scheme where he believed he was participating in counterfeiting U. S. currency. The Tax Court denied the deduction, ruling that allowing it would frustrate the public policy against counterfeiting, as Mazzei's loss stemmed directly from his involvement in a criminal conspiracy. The decision reinforced the principle that deductions cannot be claimed for losses related to illegal activities, even if the taxpayer was defrauded, emphasizing the court's stance on upholding public policy over individual tax benefits.

Facts

Raymond Mazzei, operating a sheet metal company, was approached by an employee, Vernon Blick, about a scheme to reproduce U. S. currency using a supposed device. Mazzei provided \$25,000 in cash to the conspirators, who demonstrated the process with a fake machine. During the final transaction, armed men impersonating law enforcement officers stole the money. Mazzei attempted to claim a theft loss deduction on his 1965 tax return, which the Commissioner of Internal Revenue denied on public policy grounds.

Procedural History

The Tax Court reviewed the case after the Commissioner disallowed Mazzei's claimed theft loss deduction. The court examined whether the loss was deductible under sections 165(c)(2) or 165(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code, and ultimately decided in favor of the Commissioner, denying the deduction.

Issue(s)

1. Whether a taxpayer can deduct a theft loss under section 165(c)(2) or 165(c)(3) when the loss arises from a conspiracy to commit a crime, specifically counterfeiting?

Holding

1. No, because allowing such a deduction would frustrate the public policy against counterfeiting, as the loss was directly related to Mazzei's participation in a criminal conspiracy.

Court's Reasoning

The court relied on the precedent set in *Luther M. Richey, Jr.*, where a similar deduction was denied for a loss incurred in a counterfeiting scheme. The court emphasized that Mazzei's participation in what he believed was a criminal conspiracy to counterfeit money, even though he was defrauded, was against public policy. The court noted that the conspiracy itself was illegal, and the fact that the device could not actually counterfeit money did not change the nature of Mazzei's intent. The court distinguished this case from others where deductions were allowed, citing the direct connection between the loss and the criminal activity as a key factor. The majority opinion was supported by concurring opinions that further emphasized the need to uphold public policy against counterfeiting. The dissenting opinions argued that Mazzei was merely a victim of fraud and should be allowed the deduction, but the majority's view prevailed.

Practical Implications

This decision impacts how tax professionals should advise clients on deductions related to losses from illegal activities. It underscores that losses directly connected to criminal acts cannot be deducted, as they contravene public policy. Practitioners must be cautious in distinguishing between losses from illegal activities and those that are merely tangential to such activities. Businesses and individuals engaged in or considering illegal schemes should be aware that they cannot offset potential losses through tax deductions. Subsequent cases, such as *Commissioner v. Tellier*, have continued to refine the application of public policy in tax deductions, but *Mazzei* remains a significant precedent for losses directly related to criminal conspiracies.