Johnson v. Commissioner, 60 T. C. 829 (1973)

Rental income is subject to self-employment tax if the landlord provides significant services beyond those typically associated with real estate rental.

Summary

David E. Johnson operated a boat marina and rented out boat sheds. He provided various services to tenants, such as selling goods, arranging repairs, and offering fishing tips, often without separate charges. The Tax Court ruled that these services disqualified the rental income from being considered "rentals from real estate" under IRC section 1402(a)(1), making it subject to self-employment tax. The decision emphasizes the importance of distinguishing between pure real estate rentals and those involving significant additional services.

Facts

David E. Johnson owned and operated a boat marina and fishing camp from 1950 to 1968. He rented out boat sheds for $5 to $15 per month and provided services to tenants, including selling gasoline, oil, fishing tackle, and sundry items at an adjacent store. Johnson also offered fishing tips, arranged for boat repairs, recharged batteries, loaned gear, and monitored overdue boats without separate charges. He reported rental income separately from business income on his tax returns for 1967 and 1968.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined deficiencies in Johnson's self-employment tax for 1967 and 1968. Johnson appealed to the U. S. Tax Court. Prior to this, Johnson's social security benefits were terminated after a review determined his income should include the boat shed rentals. His appeals through the Social Security Administration and federal courts were unsuccessful.

Issue(s)

1. Whether income from the rental of boat sheds constitutes "rentals from real estate" under IRC section 1402(a)(1), thus exempting it from self-employment tax.

Holding

1. No, because the services provided to the boat shed tenants were not usually or customarily rendered in connection with the rental of real estate, making the income subject to self-employment tax.

Court's Reasoning

The Tax Court applied IRC section 1402(a)(1) and the corresponding regulation 1.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

1402(a)-4(c)(2), which states that if services are rendered to occupants beyond those typically associated with real estate rental, the income is not considered “rentals from real estate. ” The court found that Johnson’s services, such as providing fishing tips and monitoring overdue boats, were primarily for the convenience of the tenants and not essential for the maintenance of the property. The court emphasized a narrow construction of the rental exclusion to align with the social security system’s goal of maximum coverage. The court also noted that the services provided were not separately compensated, further supporting their inclusion in self-employment income. The decision was influenced by prior judicial interpretations of similar provisions in the Social Security Act, which aim to ensure broad coverage.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that landlords must carefully assess the services they provide to tenants. If services go beyond those typically associated with real estate rental, such as maintenance or minor administrative tasks, the income may be subject to self-employment tax. This ruling impacts how landlords structure their business operations, particularly those involving marinas, storage facilities, or other properties where additional services are common. It also affects how tax practitioners advise clients on the tax treatment of rental income. Subsequent cases have applied this principle to various types of properties, reinforcing the need for a clear distinction between rental income and income from services.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.