

American National Bank of Reading v. Commissioner, 62 T. C. 815 (1974)

A merged bank must use the combined bad debt reserve ratios of both banks for the period prior to the merger when computing its reserve addition post-merger under transitional IRS rules.

Summary

In 1964, American National Bank of Reading merged with Schuylkill Trust Co. , and the IRS assessed a deficiency in American's income tax due to its method of calculating the addition to its bad debt reserve. The key issue was whether American should use its own pre-merger bad debt reserve ratio or the combined ratio of both banks. The Tax Court held that under the transitional rule of Rev. Rul. 64-334, American must use the combined ratio of both banks from December 31, 1963, to compute its 1964 reserve addition. This decision was based on the interpretation that the transitional rule extended the concepts established by earlier IRS rulings, requiring the use of combined experience ratios for merged banks.

Facts

American National Bank of Reading (American) and Schuylkill Trust Co. (Schuylkill) were both Pennsylvania banks. On August 13, 1964, Schuylkill merged into American, with American as the surviving corporation. Both banks had used the reserve method for bad debts based on a 20-year average loss ratio before the merger. American claimed a \$944,145 addition to its bad debt reserve for 1964, calculated using its own pre-merger ratio. The IRS determined the allowable addition should be \$851,249, using the combined ratio of both banks as of December 31, 1963.

Procedural History

The IRS issued a statutory notice of deficiency to American for the 1964 tax year. American challenged this deficiency in the U. S. Tax Court, arguing that it should use its own bad debt reserve ratio from before the merger. The Tax Court ruled in favor of the IRS, holding that American must use the combined ratio of both banks under Rev. Rul. 64-334.

Issue(s)

1. Whether, under Rev. Rul. 64-334, American National Bank of Reading must use the combined bad debt reserve ratios of both American and Schuylkill as of December 31, 1963, to compute its allowable addition to its bad debt reserve for the taxable year 1964?

Holding

1. Yes, because Rev. Rul. 64-334, as a transitional rule, extends the concepts of

earlier IRS rulings, which require the use of combined bad debt experience ratios for merged banks.

Court's Reasoning

The court interpreted Rev. Rul. 64-334 in light of previous IRS rulings, including Mim. 6209, Rev. Rul. 54-148, and Rev. Rul. 57-350. These rulings established that banks should compute their bad debt reserves based on a 20-year average loss ratio and allowed for the use of combined experience ratios for banks that were successors to other banks. The court found that Rev. Rul. 64-334 was a transitional rule meant to maintain the status quo until new rules could be formulated, and thus required American to use the combined ratio of both banks as of December 31, 1963. The court also noted that American provided no proof of similarity between its and Schuylkill's operations to justify using only American's ratio. The court cited *Pullman Trust and Savings Bank v. United States* as persuasive authority supporting the use of combined experience ratios for merged banks.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that in the context of a merger, the surviving bank must use the combined bad debt reserve ratios of both banks for the period prior to the merger when calculating additions to the reserve under transitional IRS rules. Legal practitioners advising banks on mergers should consider this ruling when planning tax strategies related to bad debt reserves. The decision impacts how merged banks compute their tax deductions for bad debt reserves and may influence IRS audits and assessments of tax deficiencies in similar situations. Subsequent cases may need to consider this ruling when addressing bad debt reserve calculations in mergers, especially under transitional IRS guidance.