

## ***Mauldin v. Commissioner, 60 T. C. 749 (1973)***

The fair market value of charitable contributions, including intellectual property and artworks, is determined by the price a willing buyer would pay to a willing seller, both having reasonable knowledge of relevant facts.

### **Summary**

William H. Mauldin donated corporate stock in 1965, cartoons to the Smithsonian in 1966, and manuscripts to the Library of Congress in 1967, claiming deductions based on his estimates of their value. The IRS contested these valuations, leading to a dispute over the fair market value of each gift. The Tax Court determined the values to be \$8,000 for the stock, \$15,000 for the cartoons, and \$5,000 for the manuscripts, based on expert testimony and the unique historical and artistic significance of Mauldin's works. Additionally, the court upheld an addition to tax for late filing of the 1966 return, as Mauldin failed to show reasonable cause for the delay.

### **Facts**

William H. Mauldin, a renowned World War II cartoonist, made charitable contributions in three consecutive years. In 1965, he donated 120 shares of preferred stock from Wil-Jo Associates, Inc. , a corporation he formed to hold his copyrights, to the Miralis Foundation. In 1966, he gifted six original Willie and Joe cartoons to the Smithsonian Institution. In 1967, he donated original manuscripts and sketches to the Library of Congress. Mauldin claimed charitable contribution deductions based on his estimates of the value of each gift, which the IRS challenged, asserting the values were excessive.

### **Procedural History**

Mauldin and the IRS reached the Tax Court after the IRS issued a notice of deficiency, challenging the claimed deductions for the charitable contributions. The Tax Court heard expert testimony and reviewed evidence regarding the fair market value of the donated items and the timeliness of the 1966 tax return filing.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether the fair market value of the 120 shares of Wil-Jo Associates, Inc. preferred stock donated in 1965 was \$8,000.
2. Whether the fair market value of the six Willie and Joe cartoons donated to the Smithsonian in 1966 was \$15,000.
3. Whether the fair market value of the manuscripts and sketches donated to the Library of Congress in 1967 was \$5,000.
4. Whether Mauldin had reasonable cause for the late filing of his 1966 tax return.

### **Holding**

1. Yes, because the court found that the stock's value was supported by expert testimony and the corporation's financial health.
2. Yes, because the cartoons' historical significance and Mauldin's reputation as a World War II artist supported the valuation.
3. Yes, because the manuscripts' value was determined based on expert testimony and the Library's appraisal.
4. No, because Mauldin's reliance on his accountant did not constitute reasonable cause for the late filing.

### **Court's Reasoning**

The Tax Court applied the legal standard for charitable contribution deductions, which requires determining the fair market value of the donated property. For the stock, the court considered the expert testimony of a stockbroker, who valued the stock based on its potential for dividends and the value of the copyrights transferred to the corporation. The court discounted the stock's value to \$8,000 due to uncertainties about future profits.

For the cartoons, the court weighed expert testimony from both sides, giving significant weight to the appraisal by an expert selected by the Smithsonian. The court found that the cartoons' historical value, Mauldin's reputation, and the public's interest in World War II memorabilia justified the \$15,000 valuation.

The court considered the Library of Congress's formal appraisal and expert testimony for the manuscripts, finding a value of \$5,000. The court noted the Library's repeated solicitations and the significance of the donated materials in determining their value.

Regarding the late filing of the 1966 return, the court found that Mauldin's reliance on his accountant did not constitute reasonable cause, as he had a duty to ensure timely filing and did not show ordinary business care and prudence.

### **Practical Implications**

This decision emphasizes the importance of accurate valuation in claiming charitable contribution deductions, particularly for unique or intellectual property. Taxpayers must provide credible evidence of fair market value, such as expert appraisals, especially for non-traditional assets like artwork and copyrights. The case highlights the weight given to appraisals by institutions receiving donations and the need for taxpayers to monitor their tax preparers to ensure timely filing. Subsequent cases have cited Mauldin in determining the valuation of charitable contributions, particularly in the context of intellectual property and historical artifacts.