Auburn Packing Co. , Inc. v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, 60 T. C. 794 (1973); 1973 U. S. Tax Ct. LEXIS 77

The IRS cannot force a farmer to change from a consistently used, permissible inventory valuation method to another method, even if the latter is believed to more clearly reflect income.

Summary

Auburn Packing Co. , a livestock feeder, used the unit-livestock-price method for inventory valuation since 1959. The IRS challenged this method in 1967, arguing it did not clearly reflect income and sought to enforce the lower of cost or market method. The Tax Court ruled in favor of Auburn, emphasizing that the unit-livestock-price method, approved by IRS regulations and consistently applied, clearly reflected income. The decision underscores the importance of consistency in accounting methods for farmers and limits the IRS's discretion to impose alternative valuation methods when the taxpayer's chosen method is within regulatory bounds.

Facts

Auburn Packing Co. , Inc. , a Washington corporation, operated a slaughter plant and feedlots, purchasing approximately 40,000 cattle annually. From 1947 to 1958, Auburn valued its cattle inventory at the lower of cost or market. Starting in 1959, it switched to the unit-livestock-price method, a method allowed under IRS regulations for livestock raisers. The IRS audited Auburn's returns from 1959 to 1965 without objection to this method. In 1967, the IRS challenged Auburn's use of this method, proposing a deficiency of $210,272 based on a valuation adjustment using the lower of cost or market method.

Procedural History

The IRS determined a deficiency in Auburn's 1967 federal income tax and required a change in inventory valuation from the unit-livestock-price method to the lower of cost or market method. Auburn filed a petition with the U. S. Tax Court, challenging the IRS's authority to mandate this change. The Tax Court, after reviewing the case, ruled in favor of Auburn, affirming the permissibility of the unit-livestock-price method.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the IRS can require Auburn, a livestock raiser using the unit-livestock-price method, to change to the lower of cost or market method for inventory valuation, claiming the former does not clearly reflect income.

Holding

1. No, because Auburn consistently used the unit-livestock-price method, a method

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

permitted by IRS regulations for livestock raisers, and this method clearly reflects income as per the regulations and accepted accounting principles.

Court’s Reasoning

The Tax Court’s decision hinged on the consistency of Auburn’s accounting method and the regulatory framework allowing the unit-livestock-price method for farmers. The court cited IRS regulations that permit farmers to use various inventory valuation methods, including the unit-livestock-price method, and emphasized the importance of consistency in accounting practices as per IRS regulations. The court rejected the IRS’s argument that the unit-livestock-price method did not clearly reflect income, noting that the method was approved by the IRS and consistently applied by Auburn. The court also distinguished this case from others where the IRS successfully mandated method changes, pointing out that Auburn’s method did not violate any tax rules or regulations. The court concluded that the IRS lacked the authority to force a change to a method it deemed more preferable when the taxpayer’s method was acceptable and consistently used.

Practical Implications

This decision reinforces the importance of consistency in accounting methods for farmers and limits the IRS’s ability to unilaterally change a taxpayer’s method when it is within regulatory bounds. It suggests that farmers who adopt and consistently use a permissible inventory valuation method can rely on that method for tax reporting. The ruling may impact how the IRS approaches audits of agricultural businesses, potentially reducing the likelihood of challenging established methods without clear regulatory justification. Subsequent cases involving similar issues may reference Auburn Packing to support the principle that consistency in accounting methods, when compliant with regulations, should be respected.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.