Ross Glove Co. v. Commissioner, 60 T. C. 569 (1973)

The income from a foreign operation conducted by a Bahamian corporation, despite being registered in the Philippines as a sole proprietorship, is taxable to the corporation if it was established for a valid business purpose and conducted substantial business activity.

Summary

Ross Glove Co. established a glove manufacturing operation in the Philippines through Carla Trading, a Bahamian corporation, to benefit from lower labor costs and accumulate funds for foreign expansion. The IRS challenged the corporate structure, arguing the income should be taxed to the individual shareholder, Carl Ross, as a sole proprietorship. The Tax Court upheld Carla Trading's status as a valid corporation for tax purposes, ruling that the income from the Philippine operation belonged to the corporation. The court also adjusted the pricing between Ross Glove and Carla Trading under section 482 to reflect arm's-length transactions, disallowed certain commissions, and upheld the deductibility of travel expenses for the Philippine operation's manager. The fraud penalty was not applicable.

Facts

Carl Ross, the controlling shareholder of Ross Glove Co. , established Carla Trading in the Bahamas to conduct a glove manufacturing operation in the Philippines. The Philippine operation was registered under Ross's name due to legal restrictions, but funds were managed through Carla Trading's accounts. Carla Trading sold raw materials and sewing services to Ross Glove initially, and later sold finished gloves. Ross Glove advanced funds to Carla Trading, which were used for the Philippine operation. The IRS audited and challenged the corporate structure and related-party transactions.

Procedural History

The IRS issued deficiency notices to Ross Glove Co. and Carl Ross for the tax years 1961-1969, asserting that the Philippine operation's income should be taxed to Carl Ross individually and that certain transactions between Ross Glove and Carla Trading were not at arm's length. The case was appealed to the U. S. Tax Court, which heard the consolidated cases of Ross Glove Co. and Carl Ross.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the income from the Philippine manufacturing operation is attributable to Carl Ross or to Carla Trading, a Bahamian corporation?
2. Whether advances from Carla Trading to Ross Glove resulted in taxable dividends to Carl Ross?
3. Whether certain transactions between Ross Glove and the Philippine manufacturing operation were at arm's length within the meaning of section 482?

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

4. Whether the travel expenses of the manager of the Philippine operation and his family are deductible in their entirety by Ross Glove?
5. Whether the fraud penalty is applicable with respect to Carl Ross for the years 1961 through 1969?

Holding

1. No, because Carla Trading was a valid corporation engaged in substantial business activity, and the Philippine operation's income is taxable to Carla Trading.
2. No, because the advances were not used for Carl Ross's personal benefit or to discharge his personal obligations.
3. No, because the transactions were not at arm's length, but adjustments were made under section 482 to reflect arm's-length pricing.
4. Yes, because Ross Glove agreed to pay all the travel expenses as part of the manager's compensation, and it was an ordinary and necessary business expense.
5. No, because the IRS failed to prove fraud by clear and convincing evidence.

Court's Reasoning

The court recognized Carla Trading as a valid corporation because it was established for valid business purposes and engaged in substantial business activity. The court found that the income from the Philippine operation belonged to Carla Trading, not Carl Ross, despite the operation being registered under Ross's name in the Philippines due to legal restrictions. The court rejected the IRS's argument that the close relationship between Ross Glove and Carla Trading or Carl Ross's activities on behalf of the Philippine operation invalidated Carla Trading's corporate status. For the section 482 adjustments, the court found that the pricing between Ross Glove and Carla Trading was not at arm's length and adjusted the prices accordingly. The court allowed the full deduction of the manager's travel expenses as an ordinary and necessary business expense. The fraud penalty was not applicable because the IRS did not meet the burden of proving fraud by clear and convincing evidence.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that a foreign corporation can be recognized for tax purposes if it is established for a valid business purpose and conducts substantial business activity, even if it operates through a nominee in another country. Practitioners should carefully document the business purpose and activities of foreign subsidiaries to support their corporate status. The case also emphasizes the importance of arm's-length pricing in related-party transactions, with the court willing to make adjustments under section 482 to reflect fair market value. Businesses should ensure that their transfer pricing policies comply with arm's-length standards to avoid IRS adjustments. The decision also highlights the need for clear agreements on employee compensation, such as travel expenses, to support their deductibility. Later cases have cited Ross Glove Co. in determining the validity of corporate

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

structures and the application of section 482 adjustments.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.