

Merians v. Commissioner, 60 T. C. 187 (1973)

Taxpayers must substantiate the portion of legal fees allocable to tax advice for deduction under Section 212(3), with the court making a reasonable allocation based on available evidence.

Summary

In *Merians v. Commissioner*, the taxpayers sought to deduct legal fees for estate planning under Section 212(3). The Tax Court, acknowledging the respondent's concession that some portion of the fees might be deductible, focused on the allocation issue due to lack of detailed evidence from the taxpayers. The court determined that 20% of the fees were for tax advice, allowing a deduction for that amount. This case underscores the necessity for taxpayers to provide specific evidence for fee allocations and the court's role in making reasonable estimates when such evidence is lacking.

Facts

Dr. Sidney Merians and his wife Susan retained a law firm in 1967 to develop an estate plan. The legal services included preparing wills, establishing irrevocable trusts, transferring corporate stock and life insurance policies, dissolving a corporation, and creating a partnership. The total legal fee charged was \$2,144 based on 42.8 hours of service at \$50 per hour. The Merians claimed this entire amount as a deduction on their 1967 federal income tax return, asserting it was solely for tax advice. The Commissioner disallowed the deduction, arguing the taxpayers failed to substantiate the portion allocable to tax advice.

Procedural History

The Commissioner determined a deficiency of \$1,136.32 in the Merians' 1967 federal income tax. The Merians filed a petition with the U. S. Tax Court to challenge this deficiency. The respondent conceded that some portion of the fee might be deductible but argued that the record lacked evidence for allocation. The Tax Court focused on the allocation issue and, after considering the available evidence, allowed a partial deduction.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the taxpayers have shown what portion of the \$2,144 legal fee was allocable to tax advice under Section 212(3).

Holding

1. Yes, because the taxpayers provided some evidence that a portion of the fee was for tax advice, though lacking in specificity. The court found that 20% of the fee was allocable to tax advice and thus deductible under Section 212(3).

Court's Reasoning

The court applied the 'Cohan rule,' which allows for reasonable estimates of deductible expenses when exact substantiation is lacking. The taxpayers' attorney testified that a 'great deal' of his work involved tax considerations, but did not provide a clear breakdown of time spent on tax versus non-tax issues. The court noted that estate planning involves many non-tax considerations, and the lack of itemization made precise allocation difficult. However, the testimony indicated some tax advice was given, leading the court to allocate 20% of the fee as tax advice, heavily weighted against the taxpayers due to the vagueness of the evidence. The court also considered the respondent's concession that some portion of the fee was deductible under Section 212(3), which narrowed the focus to allocation. Concurring and dissenting opinions highlighted debates on the interpretation of Section 212(3) and its application to estate planning fees, with some judges arguing that only fees directly related to tax filings should be deductible.

Practical Implications

This decision underscores the importance of detailed record-keeping and itemization for taxpayers seeking to deduct legal fees under Section 212(3). Practitioners should advise clients to obtain itemized bills that clearly delineate time spent on tax advice versus other services. The ruling also highlights the court's willingness to make reasonable allocations based on available evidence when specific substantiation is lacking, providing a precedent for future cases involving similar issues. For estate planning, this case suggests that while some tax advice may be deductible, a significant portion of fees related to non-tax aspects of estate planning may not be. Later cases may reference *Merians* when addressing the allocation of legal fees, particularly in the context of estate planning and tax advice.